




Research Paper Series

Analytical Studies

*Has Higher Education Among Young Women Substantially
Reduced the Gender Gap in Employment and Earnings?*

by Marc Frenette and Simon Coulombe

No. 301



Statistics
Canada

Statistique
Canada

Canada

Analytical Studies Research Paper Series

The Analytical Studies Research Paper Series provides for the circulation, on a pre-publication basis, of research conducted by Branch staff, visiting Fellows and academic associates. The Research Paper Series is intended to stimulate discussion on a variety of topics including labour, business firm dynamics, pensions, agriculture, mortality, language, immigration, statistical computing and simulation. Readers of the series are encouraged to contact the authors with comments, criticisms and suggestions. A list of titles appears at the end of this document.

Papers in the series are distributed to research institutes, and specialty libraries. These papers can be downloaded from the Internet at www.statcan.ca.

Publications Review Committee
Analytical Studies, Statistics Canada
24th Floor, R.-H.-Coats Building
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0T6
613-951-1804
613-951-1667

Has Higher Education among Young Women Substantially Reduced the Gender Gap in Employment and Earnings?

by

Marc Frenette* and Simon Coulombe**

**11F0019 No. 301
ISSN: 1205-9153
ISBN: 978-0-662-46217-0**

Business and Labour Market Analysis
24-I, R.H. Coats Building, 100 Tunney's Pasture Driveway, Ottawa K1A 0T6

*Statistics Canada 613-951-4228

**Statistics Canada 613-951-3762

Facsimile Number: 613-951-5403

Email: marc.frenette@statcan.ca

simon.coulombe@statcan.ca

The paper is available on Internet: (www.statcan.ca)

May 2007

The authors gratefully acknowledge helpful comments by Garnett Picot, René Morissette and Ted Wannell. All remaining errors are the responsibility of the authors.

Published by authority of the Minister responsible for Statistics Canada

© Minister of Industry, 2007

All rights reserved. The content of this electronic publication may be reproduced, in whole or in part, and by any means, without further permission from Statistics Canada, subject to the following conditions: that it be done solely for the purposes of private study, research, criticism, review or newspaper summary, and/or for non-commercial purposes; and that Statistics Canada be fully acknowledged as follows: Source (or "Adapted from," if appropriate): Statistics Canada, year of publication, name of product, catalogue number, volume and issue numbers, reference period and page(s). Otherwise, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form, by any means—electronic, mechanical or photocopy—or for any purposes without prior written permission of Licensing Services, Client Services Division, Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1A 0T6.

La version française de cette publication est disponible (n° 11F0019MIF au catalogue, n°301).

Note of appreciation:

Canada owes the success of its statistical system to a long-standing partnership between Statistics Canada, the citizens of Canada, its businesses, governments and other institutions. Accurate and timely statistical information could not be produced without their continued cooperation and goodwill.

Table of contents

Executive summary	4
1. Introduction.....	5
2. Methodology.....	7
3. Results.....	10
4. Conclusion.....	23
References.....	25

Abstract

Young women have gained considerable ground on young men in terms of educational attainment in the 1990s. The objective of this study is to assess the role of rapidly rising educational attainment among young women in raising their relative position in the labour market. The findings suggest that the educational trends have not contributed towards a decline in the full-time employment gap. Nevertheless, they have contributed towards a decline in the gender earnings gap, especially in the 1990s. However, university-educated women have lost ground to university-educated men. This is likely due to the fact that men and women continued to choose traditional disciplines during the 1990s, but only male-dominated disciplines saw improvements in average earnings.

Keywords: gender gap, earnings, employment, educational attainment.

Executive summary

It has been well documented that young women have been gaining ground on young men in terms of educational attainment. In 1981, 16.2% of women and 15.5% of men aged 25 to 29 who were in the labour force held a university degree. The gap only widened moderately by 1991, as 19.1% of young women and 16.1% of young men held a university degree. By 2001, the gap had increased dramatically: 31.3% of young women and 21.6% of young men held a university degree.

The objective of this study is to assess the role of rapidly rising educational attainment of young women in explaining trends in the gender gap in labour market outcomes, such as obtaining full-time employment and earnings. Census data are used to examine these issues.

The gender gap in the probability of full-time employment (among labour force participants) declined in the 1980s, and this has largely been associated with changing family composition of young men and women, as well as unexplained factors. Young men increasingly became more likely to remain single than young women, and single people are generally less likely to be employed full-time. Educational factors played little or no role in helping to reduce the gap. In contrast, there was essentially no change in the gap in the 1990s.

In terms of the log earnings gap (among full-year, full-time workers), we note a large decline in the 1980s, which was mainly associated with changing family characteristics and unexplained factors. Unlike the gap in the probability of full-time employment, however, educational attainment did play a (smaller) role in reducing the earnings gap over this period.

In contrast, education was the main driving force behind a (smaller) reduction in the gap in the 1990s. In fact, education almost fully explained the declining gap over the decade. Unlike the 1980s, however, other characteristics generally did not contribute towards reducing the gap, and there was virtually no unexplained reduction in the gap. The lack of an unexplained reduction in the gap was, in fact, the most important factor behind the slowing convergence in the earnings gap in the 1990s. In the United States, Blau and Kahn (2004) examined the issue of slowing convergence in the gender wage gap. They too find that the largest factor contributing towards the slowing wage convergence is the "unexplained gap". Specifically, they find evidence that changes in labour force selectivity, changes in gender differences in unmeasured abilities and labour market discrimination, and changes in the relative advantage of supply and demand shifts all played a part in explaining the slowing convergence of the gender wage gap.


The earnings gap in the 1990s actually *rose* moderately at the university level, but remained unchanged at the college level. The relative stability in the disciplines men and women continued to take in university may have prevented the earnings gap from further declining in the 1990s. It may, in fact, have contributed towards increasing the gap. Public spending cuts were felt by health and education graduates (female-dominated fields) and the high tech boom helped engineering and other technology graduates (male-dominated fields). Alternatively, the rapid rise in the number of women in universities may have extended further down the distribution of unobserved earnings-related characteristics, which may explain why the unexplained component is so prominent. The academic discipline was not available in the U.S. data used by Blau and Kahn (2004). However, it is possible that it too was a factor in their findings.

1. Introduction

The large differences in labour market outcomes that exist between men and women have been the focus of countless studies by Canadian labour economists (e.g., Christofides and Swidinsky, 1994; Baker et al., 1995; Gunderson, 1998; Drolet, 2001; Finnie and Wannell, 2004). The issue is important for several reasons, not the least of which is equity concerns. Also, the pay that women can expect to receive in the labour market may have strong implications for public finances. Lone mothers in particular may be incited to work rather than collect public transfers if they can find a job and earn enough to comfortably cover the cost of childcare and other expenses. Of equal importance, if husband and wife can expect to earn the same pay as one another, many families would have the financial flexibility of placing their children in their father's care while their mother works outside of the home.

The issue of gender employment and pay equity has gained importance in recent years given the rapidly rising educational attainment of women. The rise was particularly acute for young women in the 1990s (Figure 1). In 1981, 16.2% of women and 15.5% of men aged 25 to 29 years old who were in the labour force held a university degree. The gap only widened moderately by 1991, as 19.1% of young women and 16.1% of young men held a university degree. By 2001, the gap had increased dramatically: 31.3% of young women and 21.6% of young men held a university degree.

Figure 1 Proportion of men and women with a university degree



Note: The sample consists of 25- to 29-year-old men and women.

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

Despite the rapid rise in educational attainment among young women in the 1990s, very little ground has been gained in terms of gender earnings equality. In fact, the earnings of both young men and women (25- to 29-year-old) who worked full-year, full-time in a paid job were relatively stagnant throughout the 1990s.

We will attempt to shed light on this issue by exploring the role of education in explaining the evolution of the gender earnings gap among young men and women (i.e., 25 to 29 years old) over the 1980s and 1990s. We will also examine the relative success of young women in locating employment over the period. No study has investigated these issues in detail since the early 1990s.¹

We already know that girls perform better than boys on standardized tests in grade school, and that young women are more likely to become university-educated than young men. However, men and women choose very different disciplines in college and university despite several scholarships geared towards women in non-traditional disciplines. Perhaps women are deterred from entering male-dominated fields of study because of social norms and customs. In this study, we will attempt to disentangle the relative roles of educational attainment and field of study (among postsecondary graduates) from other factors in the evolution of gender differences in labour market outcomes.

Studying the relative position of *young* women in the labour market is important not only because of recent developments in their educational attainment. First, any study of gender differences in labour market success should be based on a good measure of labour market experience. Since the only consistent data source available to study the evolution of labour market success is the Census, we are left with no such measure.² Using the common proxy 'age minus years of schooling minus 5' would do little to account for differences in labour market experience between the sexes (or how this difference has evolved over time). By focusing on men and women between the ages of 25 and 29, we are essentially restricting the analysis to new entrants in the 'mature' labour market, and thus, differences in labour market experience are less likely to matter.

Second, in studying the evolution of the earnings gap, it is important to distinguish between stocks and flows. Most studies only consider how earnings among all working age men and women have evolved (i.e., the stock). The earnings gap between older men and women may largely reflect old hiring and pay practices, as well as antiquated societal norms and views. Specifically, these older practices may have limited the starting wages of women many years ago, and the earnings profile of these women may have been negatively affected as a result.³ If factors such as employment equity laws, changing educational choices, and declining minimum wages have resulted in a change in the earnings gap, we may see its biggest impact on new labour market entrants (i.e., the flow).

The study proceeds as follows. The next section describes the data and methods used in the analysis. This is followed by the results section, in which we begin by mapping out the socio-economic characteristics of young men and women between 1981 and 2001. Next, we describe the evolution of their employment rates and earnings over the same period. Finally, we decompose the levels and trends of the full-time employment and earnings gaps between young men and women into a component that is 'explained' (i.e., due to changing characteristics) and a component that is 'unexplained' (i.e., due to changing labour market valuation and/or changing unobserved heterogeneity). The study is then summarized in the conclusion.

1. Finnie and Wannell (2004) investigate the gender earnings gap among recent bachelor's level university graduates, but the data they use end in 1995.
2. One could also combine the Survey of Consumer Finances (SCF) and the Survey of Labour and Income Dynamics (SLID), but the SCF also contains no measure of labour market experience.
3. Murphy and Welch (1990) find that a substantial portion of lifetime earnings growth occurs during the first years after graduation.

2. Methodology

The data used in the study are the Census of Population 20% micro data files for the years 1981, 1991, and 2001. Two labour market outcomes are examined: the probability of locating employment (any employment or full-time employment) and earnings (among full-year, full-time workers). We look at yearly earnings rather than weekly earnings since a large portion of the decline in the gender pay gap has been due to an increase in the number of weeks worked. Although earnings are recovered for the previous year in the census, for consistency, we will make reference to the years 1981, 1991, and 2001 when looking at both employment and earnings.

The sample used to study employment outcomes consists of men and women between the ages of 25 and 29 years old who are in the labour force during the census reference week. The sample is further restricted to Canadian citizens residing in a private dwelling in one of the 10 Canadian provinces. The restriction on Canadian citizenship is required for consistency since non-permanent residents have been included in the census since 1991.

When we examine earnings, the sample is identical to the one described above with the exception of the employment condition. In this case, we look at young men and women who are employed full year, full-time (i.e., they worked at least 40 weeks in a paid job in the previous year, and were employed mainly full-time throughout the year, or 30 hours per week or more on average). Furthermore, we select workers with at least \$5,000 (in 2000 constant dollars) in paid earnings in the previous year.⁴ Self-employed workers—identified by the presence of non-zero net self-employment income—are dropped from the sample.

Traditional studies have decomposed the average earnings gap by using the Blinder-Oaxaca method (Blinder, 1973; Oaxaca, 1973) and we will follow this convention. Specifically, the gap in log earnings can be expressed as:

$$(1) \bar{Y}^M - \bar{Y}^F = b^M (\bar{X}^M - \bar{X}^F) + \bar{X}^F (b^M - b^F).$$

The first term on the right-hand side represents the portion of the gap explained by differences in characteristics (i.e., the explained component), and the second term represents the portion of the gap related to differences in the market valuation of those characteristics or simply due to unobserved heterogeneity, or both (i.e., the unexplained component).⁵ The explained (unexplained) component could also be evaluated using the female (male) coefficients (characteristics). Also, the first term is additively decomposable by specific characteristic.⁶

4. It would be very unusual for someone to earn less than \$5,000 if they worked full-year/full-time. Assuming they worked the minimum implied number of hours (40 weeks times 30 hours per week = 1,200 hours), this would correspond to an hourly wage of only \$4.17.

5. The notation is standard: Y represents the outcome variable (log earnings in this case), while males and females are denoted by the superscripts M and F, respectively.

6. The ordering of the characteristics does not affect the value of each variable's contribution.

Baker et al. (1995) modify the Blinder-Oaxaca method to examine the evolution of the earnings gap, and we adopt a similar approach. The decomposition that results is shown below:

$$(2) (\bar{Y}_t^M - \bar{Y}_t^F) - (\bar{Y}_{t-10}^M - \bar{Y}_{t-10}^F) = \left[b_{t-10}^M (\bar{X}_t^M - \bar{X}_{t-10}^M) - b_{t-10}^F (\bar{X}_t^F - \bar{X}_{t-10}^F) \right] + \left[\bar{X}_t^M (b_t^M - b_{t-10}^M) - \bar{X}_t^F (b_t^F - b_{t-10}^F) \right].$$

The first term on the right-hand side represents the portion of the change in the gap explained by male-female differences in the change in their characteristics (i.e., the explained component), and the second term represents the portion of change in the gap related to male-female differences in the change in the market valuation of those characteristics or the change in unobserved heterogeneity, or both (i.e., the unexplained component).

We will also decompose differences in full-time employment rates, but these will follow from logit and probit models (given the dichotomous nature of the outcome). A critical assumption in the standard Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition technique is that $\bar{Y} = F(\bar{X}b)$. This assumption is violated in nonlinear models such as logits or probits. However, Fairlie (1999) has shown that the Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition is simply a special case of a more general decomposition, which can be applied when $\bar{Y} \neq F(\bar{X}b)$. We begin with the following identity:

$$(3) P_i = F(X_i b),$$

where P_i is the predicted probability of employment for person i with a set of characteristics X_i . By definition,

$$(4) \bar{P} = \sum_{i=1}^N F(X_i b) / N,$$

where $\bar{P}$ equals the average predicted employment rate for the entire sample.⁷ Using simple algebra, we can decompose the average predicted gender gap as follows:

$$(5) \bar{P}^M - \bar{P}^F = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N^M} F(X_i^M b^M) / N^M - \sum_{i=1}^{N^F} F(X_i^F b^M) / N^F \right] + \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N^F} F(X_i^F b^M) / N^F - \sum_{i=1}^{N^F} F(X_i^F b^F) / N^F \right].$$

The first term on the right-hand side represents the portion of the gap explained by differences in characteristics (i.e., the explained component), and the second term represents the portion of the gap related to differences in the market valuation of those characteristics or simply due to unobserved heterogeneity, or both (i.e., the unexplained component). As in the Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition, the explained (unexplained) component could also be evaluated using the female (male) coefficients (characteristics). Again, the first term is additively decomposable by specific characteristic.⁸

7. In the logit model, this is identical to the overall sample probability. In practice, it is usually very close to the overall sample probability in a probit model. To be sure, we regenerated all results from a set of linear probability models and applied the Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition. This exercise yielded qualitatively similar findings.

8. In this case, the ordering of the characteristics matters. Consequently, we will verify the robustness of all results by switching the order of variables.

The contribution of one particular characteristic (say x_1 in a two-variable model) is slightly more involved. First, one must estimate separate male and female regressions in each period. Assuming that $N_t^M = N_t^F$, and that there exists a natural one-to-one matching of males and females, then the independent contribution of x_1 is:⁹

$$(6) \frac{1}{N_t^F} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N_t^F} F(x_{1,i,t}^M b_{1,t}^F + x_{2,i,t}^F b_{2,t}^F) - F(x_{1,i,t}^F b_{1,t}^F + x_{2,i,t}^F b_{2,t}^F) \right].$$

In other words, the contribution of x_1 is equal to the change in the average predicted outcome from replacing the distribution of females in period t with the distribution of males in period t . Again, we could also evaluate the contribution of x_1 by using the male coefficients rather than the female coefficients. Of course, the sample sizes of males and females are likely different, and a one-to-one matching is needed. To address these issues, we take a random sub-sample of males to match the sample size of females (assuming $N_t^M > N_t^F$). We then generate predicted outcomes from the pooled regression coefficients for each observation in the sample. Next, we rank all males and females separately and match them by their respective rankings. This approach matches males and females with a similar bundle of characteristics yielding similar predicted outcomes.¹⁰

Now, equation (5) can be easily modified to analyze changes in the gender earnings gap over time, as Baker et al. (1995) have done with the Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition. We apply a similar approach to Fairlie's method. Specifically, the change in the gender earnings gap between period t and $t-10$ can be expressed as follows:

$$(7) \left(\bar{P}_t^M - \bar{P}_t^F \right) - \left(\bar{P}_{t-10}^M - \bar{P}_{t-10}^F \right) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N_t^M} F(x_{i,t}^M b_{i,t-10}^M) / N_t^M - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{t-10}^M} F(x_{i,t-10}^M b_{i,t-10}^M) / N_{t-10}^M \right] - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N_t^F} F(x_{i,t}^F b_{i,t-10}^F) / N_t^F - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{t-10}^F} F(x_{i,t-10}^F b_{i,t-10}^F) / N_{t-10}^F \right] + \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N_t^M} F(x_{i,t}^M b_{i,t}^M) / N_t^M - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{t-10}^M} F(x_{i,t-10}^M b_{i,t-10}^M) / N_{t-10}^M \right] - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N_t^F} F(x_{i,t}^F b_{i,t}^F) / N_t^F - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{t-10}^F} F(x_{i,t-10}^F b_{i,t-10}^F) / N_{t-10}^F \right].$$

The first term on the right-hand side represents the portion of the change in the gap explained by male-female differences in the change in characteristics (i.e., the explained component), and the second term represents the portion of change in the gap related to male-female differences in the change in the market valuation of those characteristics or the change in unobserved heterogeneity, or both (i.e., the unexplained component). Once again, the explained (unexplained) component could also be evaluated using the coefficients (characteristics) in period t ($t-10$).

9. The formula can be easily extended to accommodate three variables. In fact, we do so later to examine the contribution of three sets of variables towards the full-time employment gap.

10. Since we must take a random sample of males, the global explained component in equation (5) may differ slightly from the sum of explained sub-components from equation (6). To ensure equality, we generate both the global explained component and the sum of explained sub-components by taking their average values over 100 random samples.

As before, the contribution of one particular characteristic (say x_1 in a two-variable model) is slightly more involved. First, one must estimate separate male and female regressions in each period. Assuming that $N_t^M = N_{t-10}^M$ and $N_t^F = N_{t-10}^F$, and that there exists a natural one-to-one matching of males in both periods and females in both periods, then the independent contribution of x_1 is:¹¹

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{N_{t-10}^M} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N_{t-10}^M} F(X_{1,i,t}^M b_{1,t-10}^M + X_{2,i,t-10}^M b_{2,t-10}^M) - F(X_{1,i,t-10}^M b_{1,t-10}^M + X_{2,i,t-10}^M b_{2,t-10}^M) \right] - \frac{1}{N_{t-10}^F} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N_{t-10}^F} F(X_{1,i,t}^F b_{1,t-10}^F + X_{2,i,t-10}^F b_{2,t-10}^F) - F(X_{1,i,t-10}^F b_{1,t-10}^F + X_{2,i,t-10}^F b_{2,t-10}^F) \right].$$

In other words, the contribution of x_1 is equal to the change in the average predicted outcome from replacing the distribution of males (females) in period t with the distribution of males (females) in period $t-10$. Again, we could also evaluate the contribution of x_1 by using the coefficients in period t . Since the sample sizes of males and females likely change over time and a one-to-one matching is needed, we take random sub-samples for each sex in period t to match the sample size in period $t-10$ (assuming $N_t > N_{t-10}$). We then generate predicted outcomes from the pooled regression coefficients for each observation in the sample. Next, we rank all observations in each period and match them by their respective rankings. This approach matches males (females) in both periods with a similar bundle of characteristics yielding similar predicted outcomes.¹²

3. Results

Composition

We begin the results section by describing the composition of young men and women over the period 1981 to 2001. Recall that two samples are used throughout the study, which means that two sets of characteristics are described. For the most part, the characteristics are almost identical in both samples.

In Table 1, the means of the explanatory variables used in the analysis are displayed. As discussed in the introduction, young women have always been more likely to hold a university degree than young men since the early 1980s. However, the gap widened dramatically over the 1990s. In both samples, about one young woman in five held a university degree in 1991. By 2001, about one young woman in three held a degree. Over the same 10-year period, the proportion of young men who held a university degree rose from about one in six to one in five.

11. Again, the formula can be easily extended to accommodate three variables. We do so later to examine the contribution of three sets of variables towards the reduction in the full-time employment gap.

12. Once again, we generate both the global explained component (equation [7]) and the sum of explained sub-components (equation [8]) by taking their average values over 100 random samples.

Table 1 Means of compositional variables

	Males			Females		
	1981	1991	2001	1981	1991	2001
Employment sample ¹						
No high school diploma	0.266	0.224	0.157	0.213	0.152	0.091
High school diploma	0.277	0.281	0.274	0.315	0.286	0.213
Non-university postsecondary certificate	0.302	0.334	0.353	0.311	0.371	0.383
University degree	0.155	0.161	0.216	0.162	0.191	0.313
Married	0.657	0.535	0.472	0.675	0.639	0.567
Single	0.302	0.437	0.508	0.251	0.313	0.397
Widowed, separated or divorced	0.041	0.028	0.020	0.075	0.048	0.036
Number of children in economic family	0.865	0.498	0.431	0.863	0.659	0.596
Atlantic Provinces	0.085	0.082	0.071	0.082	0.083	0.074
Quebec	0.267	0.251	0.235	0.259	0.246	0.232
Ontario	0.336	0.382	0.387	0.368	0.387	0.394
Prairie Provinces	0.078	0.071	0.067	0.073	0.071	0.065
Alberta	0.120	0.102	0.118	0.104	0.100	0.108
British Columbia	0.115	0.113	0.122	0.114	0.113	0.127
Rural area	0.221	0.193	0.153	0.183	0.183	0.144
Urban area: <30,000	0.154	0.129	0.117	0.138	0.125	0.115
Urban area: 30,000 to 99,999	0.082	0.089	0.080	0.081	0.090	0.077
Urban area: 100,000 to 499,999	0.106	0.114	0.133	0.114	0.115	0.135
Urban area: 500,000 (except Mt/Tor/Van)	0.164	0.162	0.174	0.173	0.164	0.170
Montréal	0.108	0.112	0.115	0.114	0.113	0.116
Toronto	0.116	0.146	0.163	0.142	0.153	0.173
Vancouver	0.049	0.056	0.065	0.056	0.058	0.070
Log earnings sample ²						
No high school diploma	0.242	0.193	0.140	0.174	0.119	0.071
High school diploma	0.291	0.287	0.276	0.336	0.287	0.197
Non-university postsecondary certificate	0.320	0.358	0.371	0.321	0.389	0.394
University degree	0.149	0.163	0.214	0.170	0.205	0.338
Weeks	50.22	50.41	50.29	50.34	50.61	50.18
Married	0.698	0.579	0.512	0.632	0.615	0.561
Single	0.261	0.393	0.468	0.294	0.341	0.407
Widowed, separated or divorced	0.041	0.028	0.020	0.075	0.044	0.032
Number of children in economic family	0.868	0.504	0.434	0.627	0.446	0.430
Atlantic Provinces	0.076	0.069	0.061	0.071	0.070	0.067
Quebec	0.258	0.240	0.231	0.263	0.240	0.225
Ontario	0.358	0.410	0.410	0.386	0.424	0.419
Prairie Provinces	0.071	0.066	0.063	0.070	0.066	0.062
Alberta	0.122	0.104	0.124	0.105	0.097	0.109
British Columbia	0.115	0.112	0.112	0.106	0.104	0.117
Rural area	0.183	0.163	0.136	0.143	0.146	0.120
Urban area: <30,000	0.161	0.132	0.121	0.123	0.111	0.105
Urban area: 30,000 to 99,999	0.086	0.092	0.082	0.079	0.086	0.073
Urban area: 100,000 to 499,999	0.109	0.118	0.137	0.114	0.117	0.135
Urban area: 500,000 (except Mt/Tor/Van)	0.174	0.169	0.182	0.192	0.178	0.183
Montréal	0.110	0.111	0.113	0.124	0.119	0.120
Toronto	0.128	0.159	0.168	0.166	0.183	0.194
Vancouver	0.049	0.056	0.061	0.058	0.060	0.071

1. The sample consists of 25- to 29-year-old men and women who were in the labour force during the census reference week.

2. The sample consists of 25- to 29-year-old men and women who were employed full-year, full-time in the year prior to the census, with at least \$5,000 in earnings and zero net self-employment income.

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population, 1981, 1991 and 2001.

The trends in the other characteristics were more or less similar for young men and women. The average number of weeks worked among full-year, full-time workers remained steady between 50 and 51 for both sexes. The proportion of young married men and women declined steadily over the 20-year period, albeit somewhat more for men. Similarly, the average number of children within the economic family declined slightly more among young men than among young women.

The remaining variables pertain to geographic characteristics. More young men and women now find themselves in Ontario than before, although the increase occurred primarily in the 1980s. Declines were registered in all other parts of the country, with the exception of British Columbia in the employment sample—a moderate increase was registered over the 1990s. The population of young men and women in rural and small urban areas has been in decline throughout most of the period. The decline has been more pronounced among young men. Among large urban centers, Toronto has gained far more young men and women than Vancouver, while Montréal saw no gain over the period.

In Table 2, the distribution of disciplines chosen by postsecondary graduates is shown. At the university level, women are relatively more likely than men to choose education, arts, humanities, social sciences, life sciences, and health. The gaps in education, humanities, and health are particularly large. For example, 20.6% of young women with a university degree had specialized in education, compared to only 9.4% of young men (2001 employment sample).

Table 2 The distribution of disciplines

	Males				Females			
	NUPC ¹		University degree		NUPC ¹		University degree	
	1991	2001	1991	2001	1991	2001	1991	2001
Employment sample ²								
Education	0.015	0.023	0.077	0.094	0.064	0.101	0.201	0.206
Arts	0.041	0.046	0.020	0.023	0.125	0.114	0.035	0.033
Humanities	0.028	0.039	0.086	0.094	0.033	0.042	0.121	0.120
Social sciences	0.046	0.070	0.197	0.183	0.059	0.096	0.223	0.238
Commerce	0.126	0.138	0.218	0.197	0.408	0.338	0.161	0.143
Life sciences	0.058	0.052	0.047	0.047	0.045	0.040	0.060	0.059
Engineering	0.643	0.583	0.184	0.184	0.080	0.089	0.032	0.043
Health	0.026	0.032	0.051	0.049	0.173	0.168	0.111	0.105
Math./comp. sc./phys. sc. ⁴	0.014	0.015	0.120	0.126	0.010	0.010	0.056	0.052
Log earnings sample ³								
Education	0.013	0.021	0.070	0.090	0.063	0.101	0.196	0.206
Arts	0.035	0.039	0.013	0.014	0.103	0.095	0.026	0.024
Humanities	0.024	0.034	0.067	0.080	0.032	0.040	0.115	0.112
Social sciences	0.049	0.073	0.188	0.180	0.059	0.095	0.215	0.238
Commerce	0.137	0.145	0.245	0.226	0.450	0.376	0.189	0.167
Life sciences	0.050	0.048	0.041	0.043	0.036	0.037	0.057	0.055
Engineering	0.649	0.597	0.208	0.199	0.086	0.091	0.033	0.045
Health	0.026	0.030	0.038	0.039	0.160	0.153	0.107	0.100
Math./comp. sc./phys. sc. ⁴	0.013	0.013	0.129	0.127	0.011	0.010	0.061	0.052

1. Non-university postsecondary certificate.

2. The sample consists of 25- to 29-year-old men and women who were in the labour force during the census reference week.

3. The sample consists of 25- to 29-year-old men and women who were employed full-year, full-time in the year prior to the census, with at least \$5,000 in earnings and zero net self-employment income.

4. Mathematics/computer science/physical sciences.

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population, 1991 and 2001.

Men are more likely to choose commerce, engineering, and mathematics/computer science/physical sciences. For example, 18.4% of young men with the university degree had specialized in engineering, compared to only 4.3% of young women (2001 employment sample).

At the college level, the gender divide is qualitatively similar for the most part. However, there are two important differences. First, almost two-thirds of college-educated men choose engineering, compared to about one in five university-educated men. The proportion of women choosing engineering at the college level is only slightly higher than at the university level (both below 1 in 10). Second, women are relatively more likely to choose commerce programs than men at the college level. In fact, between one-third and one-half of college-educated women choose commerce.

Despite the large increase in the proportion of young university-educated women (Table 1), the gender differences in university disciplines chosen have remained remarkably stable throughout the 1990s. A slight increase in the proportion of women choosing engineering should be noted, mainly at the expense of women choosing commerce or mathematics/computer science/physical sciences. At the college level, the field choices remained relatively stable as well, although education and social sciences became more popular choices for women over the 1990s, largely at the expense of commerce programs.

Labour market outcomes

We now turn to gender differences in labour market outcomes. These include employment rates, full-time employment rates, and mean earnings. Recall that the employment and full-time employment rates are calculated among a sample of labour force participants, while mean earnings are calculated among a sample of full-year, full-time workers.

Beginning with employment rates, we note very little difference between young men and women (Table 3). In 1981, 93.4% of young men in the labour force were employed compared to 91.4% of young women. Employment rates fell for both sexes in 1991 because of the recession, although young women were slightly more likely to be employed than young men at that point. This gap persisted even as the economy was closer to a peak in 2001. These trends generally hold when we break down the results by education level. Given these very minor changes in employment rates for both sexes, the decomposition section will focus exclusively on full-time employment rates and mean earnings, which display far more interesting patterns, and to which we now turn.

Not surprisingly, young men are far more likely to be employed on a full-time basis than young women. In 1981, the gap stood at 19.1%. Over the next 10 years, the gap narrowed considerably, reaching 15.0% by 1991. Further declines were registered over the 1990s, as the gap stood at 13.5% in 2001. Interestingly, the gap remained remarkably stable among young men and women without postsecondary qualifications. Among university and non-university postsecondary graduates, the gap in full-time employment declined considerably over the 20-year period (mostly in the 1980s).

Table 3 Employment rates and mean earnings

	Males			Females			Percentage Gap		
	1981	1991	2001	1981	1991	2001	1981	1991	2001
Employment rate ¹	0.934	0.878	0.917	0.914	0.887	0.923	2.1	-1.0	-0.6
No high school	0.903	0.815	0.864	0.873	0.808	0.847	3.4	0.9	2.0
High school	0.940	0.878	0.914	0.915	0.878	0.905	2.7	-0.1	1.0
NUPC ⁴	0.945	0.897	0.930	0.929	0.904	0.933	1.7	-0.7	-0.4
University	0.953	0.926	0.941	0.940	0.931	0.944	1.3	-0.6	-0.3
Full-time employment rate ²	0.851	0.788	0.828	0.688	0.670	0.716	19.1	15.0	13.5
No high school	0.810	0.718	0.771	0.625	0.560	0.603	22.8	22.0	21.7
High school	0.860	0.780	0.816	0.698	0.648	0.662	18.9	17.0	18.9
NUPC ⁴	0.872	0.818	0.851	0.697	0.689	0.725	20.1	15.8	14.8
University	0.863	0.834	0.848	0.735	0.752	0.775	14.8	9.8	8.6
Mean earnings (2000 constant dollars) ³	40,131	36,405	36,536	29,744	29,211	29,995	25.9	19.8	17.9
No high school	36,603	32,022	30,478	23,993	22,835	22,019	34.5	28.7	27.8
High school	38,929	34,227	32,804	27,793	26,451	25,506	28.6	22.7	22.2
NUPC ⁴	41,789	37,871	36,688	30,695	29,062	27,861	26.5	23.3	24.1
University	44,652	42,219	45,054	37,684	37,066	36,782	15.6	12.2	18.4

1. The sample consists of 25- to 29-year-old men and women who were in the labour force during the census reference week.

2. The sample consists of 25- to 29-year-old men and women who were employed during the census reference week.

3. The sample consists of 25- to 29-year-old men and women who were employed full-year, full-time in the year prior to the census, with at least \$5,000 in earnings and zero net self-employment income.

4. NUPC = Non-university post-secondary certificate.

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population, 1981, 1991 and 2001.

The trend in the overall gap in mean earnings was very similar. It went from 25.9% in 1981 to 17.9% in 2001. Again, most of this decline occurred in the 1980s. What is different about the decline in the mean earnings gap is that it was far more prevalent among non-postsecondary graduates. In fact, the gap in mean earnings actually increased among university graduates, and only declined slightly among non-university postsecondary graduates. However, the earnings patterns among young women were very similar for all education groups—a slight decline was registered in each case over the 20-year period. What explains the trends in the earnings gaps are the interesting earnings patterns among young men. Essentially, earnings declined quite dramatically among young men with no postsecondary qualifications—roughly \$6,000 over the period—far outpacing the declines registered among young women. Even among young men with non-university postsecondary qualifications, earnings declined by about \$5,000, which was still greater than that decline among young women with similar qualifications (about \$3,000). At the university level, young men actually saw a slight increase in earnings. In fact, they are the only gender-education group who saw an increase in earnings over the period. In contrast, young university-educated women registered a slight decrease in earnings over the same period.

In Table 4, we see labour market outcomes by disciplines. Two key points are worth mentioning. First, the economy began to rebound from a prolonged period of stagnation in the latter part of the decade. More specifically, the high-tech sector saw tremendous growth at this time. As a result, mean earnings among men in engineering, commerce, and mathematics/computer science/physical sciences rose substantially throughout the 1990s. Second, mean earnings of women in education and health actually declined during the period, likely as a result of public sector wage freezes or

rollbacks. These results are interesting in light of the fact that men and women continued to choose these traditional fields during the 1990s (see Table 2).

Table 4 Employment rates, full-time employment rates, and mean earnings by discipline

	Males				Females			
	NUPC ¹		University degree		NUPC ¹		University degree	
	1991	2001	1991	2001	1991	2001	1991	2001
Employment sample ²								
Education	0.872	0.942	0.948	0.966	0.902	0.943	0.946	0.965
Arts	0.883	0.926	0.896	0.924	0.889	0.935	0.901	0.937
Humanities	0.872	0.914	0.882	0.916	0.883	0.930	0.916	0.928
Social sciences	0.929	0.946	0.909	0.933	0.902	0.937	0.927	0.942
Commerce	0.926	0.944	0.935	0.949	0.901	0.931	0.934	0.944
Life sciences	0.918	0.943	0.909	0.928	0.874	0.916	0.918	0.931
Engineering	0.889	0.923	0.935	0.949	0.885	0.904	0.893	0.925
Health	0.947	0.951	0.944	0.944	0.944	0.950	0.954	0.956
Math./comp. sc./phys. sc. ⁵	0.870	0.938	0.940	0.933	0.918	0.922	0.918	0.916
Full time employment rate ³								
Education	0.750	0.802	0.792	0.832	0.667	0.713	0.718	0.760
Arts	0.765	0.807	0.701	0.711	0.647	0.699	0.643	0.680
Humanities	0.752	0.779	0.723	0.775	0.661	0.696	0.728	0.740
Social sciences	0.837	0.858	0.809	0.827	0.693	0.729	0.759	0.771
Commerce	0.854	0.867	0.870	0.894	0.723	0.763	0.813	0.843
Life sciences	0.842	0.865	0.822	0.834	0.638	0.706	0.755	0.767
Engineering	0.816	0.857	0.884	0.890	0.725	0.745	0.781	0.823
Health	0.828	0.826	0.836	0.813	0.645	0.674	0.754	0.769
Math./comp. sc./phys. sc. ⁵	0.782	0.834	0.863	0.852	0.728	0.731	0.772	0.783
Mean earnings (in 2000 constant dollars) ⁴								
Education	32,571	30,534	37,528	35,552	26,980	25,757	36,039	33,877
Arts	33,287	30,827	31,616	34,529	23,970	23,928	28,743	29,524
Humanities	32,699	31,331	34,432	36,420	27,830	27,544	32,723	33,214
Social sciences	41,884	36,928	40,199	41,684	29,350	28,561	35,375	35,133
Commerce	36,515	36,058	43,648	47,967	28,250	27,842	38,925	40,191
Life sciences	31,793	29,903	36,333	36,354	24,696	24,054	33,846	33,076
Engineering	38,865	38,255	46,370	52,067	32,214	30,504	43,652	44,867
Health	38,210	36,689	49,685	46,907	34,684	30,507	43,871	42,841
Math./comp. sc./phys. sc. ⁵	38,988	37,150	43,126	49,534	32,596	32,278	39,969	41,301

1. Non-university post-secondary certificate.

2. The sample consists of 25- to 29-year-old men and women who were in the labour force during the census reference week.

3. The sample consists of 25- to 29-year-old men and women who were employed during the census reference week.

4. The sample consists of 25- to 29-year-old men and women who were employed full-year, full-time in the year prior to the census, with at least \$5,000 in earnings and zero net self-employment income.

5. Mathematics/computer science/physical sciences.

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population, 1991 and 2001.

Decompositions

In this section, we attempt to explain the levels and trends in gender differences in full-time employment and earnings. We begin by estimating logit and probit models of full-time employment and log earnings ordinary least squares models for each sex and year. In these models, the explanatory variables included correspond to those described in Table 1. Additional models were estimated on university graduates and non-university postsecondary graduates. In these models, the

variables are similar to those described in Table 1, except that the educational level variables are replaced with the field of study variables (described in Table 2). Since the main focus will be on the decompositions, we do not present the results of the regression estimations. They are, however, available upon request.

Static decompositions

We now turn to the decomposition results, beginning with static gender differences (i.e., gender differences at various points in time). These refer to equations (1), (5), and (6) in the methodology section. In Table 5, the gap in the probability of full-time employment is decomposed into an explained component (X) and an unexplained component (b).¹³ The results suggest that the vast majority of the gap is unexplained. In fact, compositional differences appear to play no role whatsoever. The gap is considerably smaller among university graduates, but again, most of it remains unexplained. However, the explained portion of the gap is larger among university graduates, and this is due to differences in their educational characteristics (which in this case refers to fields of study). In other words, the different disciplines men and women take in university contribute towards the gap in the probability of full-time employment. Although there is some evidence to this effect at the college level, it is not as compelling.

13. Note that the results presented here were drawn from the probit models; however, the results from the logit and linear probability models are very similar.

Table 5 Static decompositions of the full-time employment probability gap^{1,2}

	1981			1991			2001		
	Gap	X ⁶	b ⁷	Gap	X ⁶	b ⁷	Gap	X ⁶	b ⁷
Method 1 ³									
All	0.1631	-0.0050	0.1681	0.1146	-0.0160	0.1306	0.1039	-0.0133	0.1171
Education	...	-0.0034	...	...	-0.0096	...	...	-0.0086	...
Family	...	-0.0005	...	...	-0.0070	...	...	-0.0061	...
Geography	...	-0.0011	...	...	0.0006	...	...	0.0014	...
University	...	...	...	0.0831	0.0151	0.0680	0.0756	0.0096	0.0660
Education	...	...	...	...	0.0208	...	...	0.0132	...
Family	...	...	...	...	-0.0066	...	...	-0.0058	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	0.0010	...	...	0.0023	...
NUPC ⁵	...	...	...	0.1282	-0.0108	0.1390	0.1182	0.0094	0.1088
Education	...	...	...	...	-0.0071	...	...	0.0112	...
Family	...	...	...	...	-0.0040	...	...	-0.0035	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	0.0003	...	...	0.0017	...
Method 2 ⁴									
All	0.1631	-0.0060	0.1690	0.1146	0.0142	0.1005	0.1039	0.0084	0.0954
Education	...	-0.0017	...	...	-0.0055	...	...	-0.0076	...
Family	...	-0.0009	...	...	0.0208	...	...	0.0162	...
Geography	...	-0.0034	...	...	-0.0012	...	...	-0.0001	...
University	...	...	...	0.0831	0.0161	0.0671	0.0756	0.0204	0.0552
Education	...	...	...	...	0.0097	...	...	0.0090	...
Family	...	...	...	...	0.0060	...	...	0.0086	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	0.0004	...	...	0.0027	...
NUPC ⁵	...	...	...	0.1849	0.0856	0.0993	0.1182	0.0267	0.0916
Education	...	...	...	...	0.0120	...	...	0.0059	...
Family	...	...	...	...	0.0274	...	...	0.0203	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	0.0462	...	...	0.0005	...

... not applicable

- Results were generated from probit models of full-time employment (in the census reference week). Logit models yielded qualitatively similar results and are available upon request.
- The sample consists of 25- to 29-year-old men and women who were in the labour force during the census reference week.
- The explained portion of the gap is evaluated using the male coefficients and the unexplained portion of the gap is evaluated using the female characteristics.
- The explained portion of the gap is evaluated using the female coefficients and the unexplained portion of the gap is evaluated using the male characteristics.
- NUPC = Non-university post-secondary certificate.
- X = Explained component of the gap.
- b = Unexplained component of the gap.

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population, 1981, 1991 and 2001.

The story is somewhat different when we decompose the gap in log earnings (Table 6). Once again, most of the gap is unexplained; however, compositional differences contribute negatively towards the gap in 1991 and 2001, largely due to differences in educational levels. In other words, the fact that women are more likely to be university educated than men appears to be associated with a reduction in the earnings gap.

Table 6 Static decompositions of the gender log earnings gap^{1,2}

	1981			1991			2001		
	Gap	X ⁶	b ⁷	Gap	X ⁶	b ⁷	Gap	X ⁶	b ⁷
Method 1 ³									
All	0.2952	0.0057	0.2895	0.2196	-0.0293	0.2489	0.1843	-0.0496	0.2339
Education	...	-0.0078	...	...	-0.0178	...	...	-0.0450	...
Family	...	0.0129	...	...	-0.0065	...	...	-0.0082	...
Geography	...	0.0034	...	...	-0.0002	...	...	0.0011	...
Weeks	...	-0.0029	...	...	-0.0048	...	...	0.0025	...
University	...	...	...	0.1158	0.0385	0.0773	0.1608	0.0666	0.0941
Education	...	...	...	...	0.0452	...	...	0.0564	...
Family	...	...	...	...	-0.0053	...	...	-0.0111	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	-0.0030	...	...	0.0055	...
Weeks	...	...	...	...	0.0016	...	...	0.0158	...
NUPC ⁵	...	...	...	0.2615	0.0415	0.2201	0.2625	0.0481	0.2144
Education	...	...	...	...	0.0462	...	...	0.0543	...
Family	...	...	...	...	-0.0017	...	...	-0.0055	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	0.0003	...	...	0.0001	...
Weeks	...	...	...	...	-0.0034	...	...	-0.0008	...
Method 2 ⁴									
All	0.2952	-0.0299	0.3251	0.2196	-0.0442	0.2639	0.1843	-0.0633	0.2476
Education	...	-0.0154	...	...	-0.0268	...	...	-0.0563	...
Family	...	-0.0081	...	...	-0.0059	...	...	-0.0046	...
Geography	...	-0.0028	...	...	-0.0060	...	...	-0.0047	...
Weeks	...	-0.0036	...	...	-0.0055	...	...	0.0023	...
University	...	...	...	0.1158	0.0250	0.0908	0.1608	0.0435	0.1173
Education	...	...	...	...	0.0334	...	...	0.0370	...
Family	...	...	...	...	-0.0081	...	...	-0.0081	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	-0.0017	...	...	0.0047	...
Weeks	...	...	...	...	0.0013	...	...	0.0099	...
NUPC ⁵	...	...	...	0.2615	0.0329	0.2286	0.2625	0.0313	0.2313
Education	...	...	...	...	0.0482	...	...	0.0388	...
Family	...	...	...	...	-0.0061	...	...	-0.0007	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	-0.0054	...	...	-0.0061	...
Weeks	...	...	...	...	-0.0038	...	...	-0.0008	...

... not applicable

- Results were generated from Ordinary Least-Squares (OLS) models of log earnings (in the year prior to the census).
- The sample consists of 25- to 29-year-old men and women who were employed full-year, full-time in the year prior to the census, with at least \$5,000 in earnings and zero net self-employment income.
- The explained portion of the gap is evaluated using the male coefficients and the unexplained portion of the gap is evaluated using the female characteristics.
- The explained portion of the gap is evaluated using the female coefficients and the unexplained portion of the gap is evaluated using the male characteristics.
- NUPC = Non-university post-secondary certificate.
- X = Explained component of the gap.
- b = Unexplained component of the gap.

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population, 1981, 1991 and 2001.

In contrast, compositional differences contribute towards the gap among university and non-university postsecondary graduates. Once again, this is due to differences in the disciplines men and women take in their postsecondary studies. The evidence in this case is far more compelling than it was in Table 5, where we examined the gap in the probability of full-time employment.

Dynamic decompositions

We now turn to the main purpose of the study, which is to examine the *evolution* of the gaps in the probability of full-time employment and log earnings. To this end, we turn to dynamic decompositions, which are described in equations (2), (7), and (8) in the methodology section.

We begin with the evolving gap in the probability of full-time employment (Table 7). The gap declined by about 5 percentage points in the 1980s, which was primarily explained by changing composition. It is worth noting that unexplained factors accounted for a substantial portion of the decline as well. Although there was no change in the gap in the 1990s, changing composition again contributed towards a decline in the gap, albeit less so than in the 1980s.

Table 7 Dynamic decompositions of the full-time employment probability gap^{1,2}

	1981 to 1991			1991 to 2001		
	ΔGap	ΔX^6	Δb^7	ΔGap	ΔX^6	Δb^7
Method 1 ³						
All	-0.0508	-0.0294	-0.0214	-0.0054	-0.0173	0.0118
Education	...	0.0048	...	...	0.0044	...
Family	...	-0.0348	...	...	-0.0136	...
Geography	...	0.0006	...	...	-0.0080	...
University	...	...	...	-0.0080	-0.0033	-0.0047
Education	...	...	...	...	0.0011	...
Family	...	...	...	...	-0.0046	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	0.0002	...
NUPC ⁵	...	...	...	0.0029	-0.0002	0.0032
Education	...	...	...	...	0.0031	...
Family	...	...	...	...	-0.0032	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	-0.0001	...
Method 2 ⁴						
All	-0.0508	-0.0401	-0.0107	-0.0054	-0.0120	0.0065
Education	...	-0.0039	...	...	-0.0002	...
Family	...	-0.0346	...	...	-0.0103	...
Geography	...	-0.0015	...	...	-0.0015	...
University	...	...	...	-0.0080	-0.0023	-0.0057
Education	...	...	...	...	0.0005	...
Family	...	...	...	...	-0.0026	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	-0.0002	...
NUPC ⁵	...	...	...	0.0029	-0.0015	0.0044
Education	...	...	...	...	0.0017	...
Family	...	...	...	...	-0.0021	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	-0.0011	...

... not applicable

- Results were generated from probit models of full-time employment (in the census reference week). Logit models yielded qualitatively similar results and are available upon request.
- The sample consists of 25- to 29-year-old men and women who were in the labour force during the census reference week.
- The explained portion of the gap is evaluated using the coefficients in the earlier period and the unexplained portion of the gap is evaluated using the characteristics in the later period.
- The explained portion of the gap is evaluated using the coefficients in the later period and the unexplained portion of the gap is evaluated using the characteristics in the earlier period.
- NUPC = Non-university post-secondary certificate.
- X = Explained component of the gap.
- b = Unexplained component of the gap.

Notes: The sum of the contributions of all components does not equal the total contribution of all components as generated from methods 1 and 2. This is because the latter are evaluated using coefficients in the earlier or later period, whereas the former is evaluated using coefficients from a pooled model. See the methodology section for details.

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population, 1981, 1991 and 2001.

In both decades, the main factor behind the compositional contribution was changing family characteristics. Young men increasingly became more likely to remain single than young women, and single people are generally less likely to be employed full-time. Educational factors played little or no role in helping to reduce the gap.

In terms of the log earnings gap, we note a large decline in the 1980s, followed by a smaller decline in the 1990s (Table 8). Changing composition was the main factor behind the decline in both periods, but more so in the 1990s. In fact, virtually all of the change in the gap in 1990s was explained.

In the 1980s, family characteristics were the main compositional factor behind the declining gap and log earnings. Unlike the gap in the probability of full-time employment, however, educational attainment did play a (smaller) role in reducing the earnings gap. In contrast, education was the main driving force behind the reduction in the gap in the 1990s. In fact, education almost fully explained the declining gap over the decade. Unlike the 1980s, however, other characteristics generally did not contribute towards reducing the gap. Furthermore, there was virtually no unexplained reduction in the gap. This may suggest that market forces did not contribute towards reducing the gap, as it did in the 1980s. Alternatively, it may suggest that unobserved characteristics of men and women remained stable in the 1990s, as opposed to the situation in the 1980s. Whatever the case may be, the result is that the overall earnings gap only declined moderately over the 1990s despite rapidly rising educational attainment among young women.

The 1990s was also a period of diverging trends in the log earnings gap. Overall, it declined moderately over the period. At the college level, no change was registered over the period. In contrast, it *rose* moderately at the university level. The fact that men and women continued to select traditional fields of study may have prevented the gap from further declining in the 1990s. It may, in fact, have contributed towards increasing the gap. Women are far more likely to study health and education, two largely public sectors that saw substantial wage freezes and rollbacks in the 1990s. On the other hand, men are more likely to study engineering and other technology fields, which proved to be a lucrative choice during the high-tech boom of the late 1990s (see Table 4). Alternatively, the rapid rise in the number of women in universities may have extended further down the distribution of unobserved earnings-related characteristics, which may explain why the unexplained component is so prominent.

Table 8 Dynamic decompositions of the gender log earnings gap^{1,2}

	1981 to 1991			1991 to 2001		
	ΔGap	ΔX^6	Δb^7	ΔGap	ΔX^6	Δb^7
Method 1 ³						
All	-0.0756	-0.0537	-0.0219	-0.0353	-0.0367	0.0013
Education	...	-0.0160	...	...	-0.0330	...
Family	...	-0.0337	...	...	-0.0094	...
Geography	...	-0.0005	...	...	-0.0033	...
Weeks	...	-0.0035	...	...	0.0090	...
University	...	...	...	0.0450	0.0072	0.0377
Education	...	...	...	...	-0.0050	...
Family	...	...	...	...	-0.0037	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	0.0035	...
Weeks	...	...	...	...	0.0125	...
NUPC ⁵	...	...	...	0.0010	-0.0069	0.0079
Education	...	...	...	...	-0.0001	...
Family	...	...	...	...	-0.0069	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	-0.0029	...
Weeks	...	...	...	...	0.0031	...
Method 2 ⁴						
All	-0.0756	-0.0512	-0.0244	-0.0353	-0.0371	0.0017
Education	...	-0.0151	...	...	-0.0345	...
Family	...	-0.0327	...	...	-0.0068	...
Geography	...	-0.0004	...	...	-0.0019	...
Weeks	...	-0.0029	...	...	0.0062	...
University	...	...	...	0.0450	0.0022	0.0428
Education	...	...	...	...	-0.0050	...
Family	...	...	...	...	-0.0030	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	0.0061	...
Weeks	...	...	...	...	0.0041	...
NUPC ⁵	...	...	...	0.0010	-0.0079	0.0089
Education	...	...	...	...	-0.0037	...
Family	...	...	...	...	-0.0038	...
Geography	...	...	...	...	-0.0027	...
Weeks	...	...	...	...	0.0022	...

... not applicable

- Results were generated from Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) models of log earnings (in the year prior to the census).
- The sample consists of 25- to 29-year-old men and women who were employed full-year, full-time in the year prior to the census, with at least \$5,000 in earnings and zero net self-employment income.
- The explained portion of the gap is evaluated using the coefficients in the earlier period and the unexplained portion of the gap is evaluated using the characteristics in the later period.
- The explained portion of the gap is evaluated using the coefficients in the later period and the unexplained portion of the gap is evaluated using the characteristics in the earlier period.
- NUPC = Non-university post-secondary certificate.
- X = Explained component of the gap.
- b = Unexplained component of the gap.

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population, 1981, 1991 and 2001.

4. Conclusion

It has been well documented that young women have been gaining ground on young men in terms of educational attainment. In 1981, 16.2% of women and 15.5% of men aged 25-to 29-years-old who were in the labour force held a university degree. The gap only widened moderately by 1991, as 19.1% of young women and 16.1% of young men held a university degree. By 2001, the gap had increased dramatically: 31.3% of young women and 21.6% of young men held a university degree. The objective of this study is to assess the role of rapidly rising educational attainment of young women in explaining trends in the gender gap in labour market outcomes, such as obtaining full-time employment and earnings.

The gender gap in the probability of full-time employment (among labour force participants) declined in the 1980s, and this has largely been associated with changing family composition of young men and women, as well as unexplained factors. Young men increasingly became more likely to remain single than young women, and single people are generally less likely to be employed full-time. Educational factors played little or no role in helping to reduce the gap. In contrast, there was essentially no change in the gap in the 1990s.

In terms of the log earnings gap (among full-year, full-time workers), we note a large decline in the 1980s, which was mainly associated with changing family characteristics and unexplained factors. Unlike the gap in the probability of full-time employment, however, educational attainment did play a (smaller) role in reducing the earnings gap over this period.

In contrast, education was the main driving force behind a (smaller) reduction in the gap in the 1990s. In fact, education almost fully explained the declining gap over the decade. Unlike the 1980s, however, other characteristics generally did not contribute towards reducing the gap, and there was virtually no unexplained reduction in the gap. The lack of an unexplained reduction in the gap was, in fact, the most important factor behind the slowing convergence in the earnings gap in the 1990s. In the United States (U.S.), Blau and Kahn (2004) examined the issue of slowing convergence in the gender wage gap. They too find that the largest factor contributing towards the slowing wage convergence is the "unexplained gap". Specifically, they find evidence that changes in labour force selectivity, changes in gender differences in unmeasured abilities and labour market discrimination, and changes in the relative advantage of supply and demand shifts all played a part in explaining the slowing convergence of the gender wage gap.

The earnings gap in the 1990s actually *rose* moderately at the university level, but remained unchanged at the college level. The relative stability in the disciplines men and women continued to take in university may have prevented the earnings gap from further declining in the 1990s. It may, in fact, have contributed towards increasing the gap. Public spending cuts were felt by health and education graduates (female-dominated fields) and the high tech boom helped engineering and other technology graduates (male-dominated fields). Alternatively, the rapid rise in the number of women in universities may have extended further down the distribution of unobserved earnings-related characteristics, which may explain why the unexplained component is so prominent. The academic discipline was not available in the U.S. data used by Blau and Kahn (2004). However, it is possible that it was also a factor in their findings.

Why did rapidly rising educational attainment among young women help to narrow the earnings gap in the 1980s (to some extent) and in the 1990s (to a greater extent), but had relatively no role to play in reducing the gap in the probability of full-time employment over these periods? The answer may lie in the fact that education is simply more strongly associated with earnings than with locating full-time employment, and thus, similar increases in educational attainment would correspond to larger increases in earnings than in the probability of being employed full-time. Going back to Table 3 in the descriptive results, we note that the university-high school earnings ratios are always substantially higher than the university-high school full-time employment ratios for young men and women in each year considered, suggesting that education is more strongly associated with earnings than with full-time employment.

References

- Baker, M., D. Benjamin, A. Desaulniers and M. Grant. 1995. "The distribution of the male/female earnings differential, 1970-1990." *Canadian Journal of Economics*. 28, 3: 479-501.
- Blau, F. and L.M. Kahn. 2004. "The US gender pay gap in the 1990s: Slowing convergence." National Bureau of Economic Research. Working Paper Series, no. 10853.
- Blinder, A.S. 1973. "Wage discrimination: Reduced form and structural estimates." *Journal of Human Resources*. 8, 4: 436-455.
- Christofides, L. and R. Swidinsky. 1994. "Wage determination by gender and visible minority status: Evidence from the 1989 LMAS." *Canadian Public Policy*. 21, 1: 34-51.
- Drolet, M. 2001. "The persistent gap: New Evidence on the Canadian Gender Wage Gap." Analytical Studies Research Paper Series. Catalogue no. 11F0019MIE2001157. Ottawa: Statistics Canada.
- Fairlie, R. 1999. "The absence of the African-American owned business: An analysis of the dynamics of self-employment." *Journal of Labor Economics*. 17, 1: 80-108.
- Finnie, R. and T. Wannell. 2004. "The evolution of the gender earnings gap amongst Canadian university graduates." Analytical Studies Research Paper Series. Catalogue no. 11F0019MIE2004235. Ottawa: Statistics Canada.
- Gunderson, M. 1998. "Women and the Canadian Labour Market: Transition Towards the Future." 1991 Census Monograph Series. Catalogue no. 96-321-MPE1998002. Ottawa: Statistics Canada.
- Murphy, K. and F. Welch. 1990. "Empirical age-earnings profiles." *Journal of Labor Economics*. 8, 2: 202-229.
- Oaxaca, R.L. 1973. "Male-female wage differentials in urban labor markets." *International Economic Review*. 14, 3: 693-709.
- Wannell, T. 1989. "The persistent gap: Exploring the earnings differential between recent male and female post-secondary graduates." Analytical Studies Research Paper Series. Catalogue no. 11F0019MIE1989026. Ottawa: Statistics Canada.

ANALYTICAL STUDIES RESEARCH PAPER SERIES

- No. 1 *Behavioural Response in the Context of Socio-Economic Microanalytic Simulation, Lars Osberg (April 1986)*
- No. 2 *Unemployment and Training, Garnett Picot (1987)*
- No. 3 *Homemaker Pensions and Lifetime Redistribution, Michael Wolfson (August 1987)*
- No. 4 *Modeling the Lifetime Employment Patterns of Canadians, Garnett Picot (Winter 1986)*
- No. 5 *Job Loss and Labour Market Adjustment in the Canadian Economy, Garnett Picot and Ted Wannell (1987)*
- No. 6 *A System of Health Statistics: Toward a New Conceptual Framework for Integrating Health Data, Michael C. Wolfson (March 1990)*
- No. 7 *A Prototype Micro-Macro Link for the Canadian Household Sector, Hans J. Adler and Michael C. Wolfson (August 1987)*
- No. 8 *Notes on Corporate Concentration and Canada's Income Tax, Michael C. Wolfson (October 1987)*
- No. 9 *The Expanding Middle: Some Canadian Evidence on the Deskilling Debate, John Myles (Fall 1987)*
- No. 10 *The Rise of the Conglomerate Economy, Jorge Niosi (1987)*
- No. 11 *Energy Analysis of Canadian External Trade: 1971 and 1976, K.E. Hamilton (1988)*
- No. 12 *Net and Gross Rates of Land Concentration, Ray D. Bollman and Philip Ehrensaft (1988)*
- No. 13 *Cause-Deleted Life Tables for Canada (1972 to 1981): An Approach Towards Analyzing Epidemiological Transition, Dhruva Nagpur and Michael Nagrodski (November 1987)*
- No. 14 *The Distribution of the Frequency of Occurrence of Nucleotide Subsequences, Based on Their Overlap Capability, Jane F. Gentleman and Ronald C. Mullin (1988)*
- No. 15 *Immigration and the Ethnolinguistic Character of Canada and Quebec, Réjean Lachapelle (1988)*
- No. 16 *Integration of Canadian Farm and Off-Farm Markets and the Off-Farm Work of Women, Men and Children, Ray D. Bollman and Pamela Smith (1988)*
- No. 17 *Wages and Jobs in the 1980s: Changing Youth Wages and the Declining Middle, J. Myles, G. Picot and T. Wannell (July 1988)*
- No. 18 *A Profile of Farmers with Computers, Ray D. Bollman (September 1988)*
- No. 19 *Mortality Risk Distributions: A Life Table Analysis, Geoff Rowe (July 1988)*
- No. 20 *Industrial Classification in the Canadian Census of Manufactures: Automated Verification Using Product Data, John S. Crysdale (January 1989)*
- No. 21 *Consumption, Income and Retirement, A.L. Robb and J.B. Burbridge (1989)*
- No. 22 *Job Turnover in Canada's Manufacturing Sector, John R. Baldwin and Paul K. Gorecki (Summer 1989)*

No. 23 *Series on The Dynamics of the Competitive Process, John R. Baldwin and Paul K. Gorecki (1990)*

- A. *Firm Entry and Exit Within the Canadian Manufacturing Sector.*
- B. *Intra-Industry Mobility in the Canadian Manufacturing Sector.*
- C. *Measuring Entry and Exit in Canadian Manufacturing: Methodology.*
- D. *The Contribution of the Competitive Process to Productivity Growth: The Role of Firm and Plant Turnover.*
- E. *Mergers and the Competitive Process.*
- F. *n/a*
- G. *Concentration Statistics as Predictors of the Intensity of Competition.*
- H. *The Relationship Between Mobility and Concentration for the Canadian Manufacturing Sector.*

No. 24 *Mainframe SAS Enhancements in Support of Exploratory Data Analysis, Richard Johnson, Jane F. Gentleman and Monica Tomiak (1989)*

No. 25 *Dimensions of Labour Market Change in Canada: Intersectoral Shifts, Job and Worker Turnover, John R. Baldwin and Paul K. Gorecki (1989)*

No. 26 *The Persistent Gap: Exploring the Earnings Differential Between Recent Male and Female Postsecondary Graduates, Ted Wannell (1989)*

No. 27 *Estimating Agricultural Soil Erosion Losses From Census of Agriculture Crop Coverage Data, Douglas F. Trant (1989)*

No. 28 *Good Jobs/Bad Jobs and the Declining Middle: 1967-1986, Garnett Picot, John Myles, Ted Wannell (1990)*

No. 29 *Longitudinal Career Data for Selected Cohorts of Men and Women in the Public Service, 1978-1987, Garnett Picot and Ted Wannell (1990)*

No. 30 *Earnings and Death-Effects Over a Quarter Century, Michael Wolfson, Geoff Rowe, Jane F. Gentleman and Monica Tomiak (1990)*

No. 31 *Firm Response to Price Uncertainty: Tripartite Stabilization and the Western Canadian Cattle Industry, Theodore M. Horbulyk (1990)*

No. 32 *Smoothing Procedures for Simulated Longitudinal Microdata, Jane F. Gentleman, Dale Robertson and Monica Tomiak (1990)*

No. 33 *Patterns of Canadian Foreign Direct Investment Abroad, Paul K. Gorecki (1990)*

No. 34 *POHEM - A New Approach to the Estimation of Health Status Adjusted Life Expectancy, Michael C. Wolfson (1991)*

No. 35 *Canadian Jobs and Firm Size: Do Smaller Firms Pay Less?, René Morissette (1991)*

No. 36 *Distinguishing Characteristics of Foreign High Technology Acquisitions in Canada's Manufacturing Sector, John R. Baldwin and Paul K. Gorecki (1991)*

No. 37 *Industry Efficiency and Plant Turnover in the Canadian Manufacturing Sector, John R. Baldwin (1991)*

No. 38 *When the Baby Boom Grows Old: Impacts on Canada's Public Sector, Brian B. Murphy and Michael C. Wolfson (1991)*

No. 39 *Trends in the Distribution of Employment by Employer Size: Recent Canadian Evidence, Ted Wannell (1991)*

No. 40 *Small Communities in Atlantic Canada: Their Industrial Structure and Labour Market Conditions in the Early 1980s, Garnett Picot and John Heath (1991)*

- No. 41 *The Distribution of Federal/Provincial Taxes and Transfers in Rural Canada*, **Brian B. Murphy** (1991)
- No. 42 *Foreign Multinational Enterprises and Merger Activity in Canada*, **John Baldwin and Richard Caves** (1992)
- No. 43 *Repeat Users of the Unemployment Insurance Program*, **Miles Corak** (1992)
- No. 44 *POHEM -- A Framework for Understanding and Modeling the Health of Human Populations*, **Michael C. Wolfson** (1992)
- No. 45 *A Review of Models of Population Health Expectancy: A Micro-Simulation Perspective*, **Michael C. Wolfson and Kenneth G. Manton** (1992)
- No. 46 *Career Earnings and Death: A Longitudinal Analysis of Older Canadian Men*, **Michael C. Wolfson, Geoff Rowe, Jane Gentleman and Monica Tomiak** (1992)
- No. 47 *Longitudinal Patterns in the Duration of Unemployment Insurance Claims in Canada*, **Miles Corak** (1992)
- No. 48 *The Dynamics of Firm Turnover and the Competitive Process*, **John Baldwin** (1992)
- No. 49 *Development of Longitudinal Panel Data from Business Registers: Canadian Experience*, **John Baldwin, Richard Dupuy and William Penner** (1992)
- No. 50 *The Calculation of Health-Adjusted Life Expectancy for a Canadian Province Using a Multi-Attribute Utility Function: A First Attempt*, **J.-M. Berthelot, R. Roberge and M.C. Wolfson** (1992)
- No. 51 *Testing the Robustness of Entry Barriers*, **J.R. Baldwin and M. Rafiquzzaman** (1993)
- No. 52 *Canada's Multinationals: Their Characteristics and Determinants*, **Paul K. Gorecki** (1992)
- No. 53 *The Persistence of Unemployment: How Important were Regional Extended Unemployment Insurance Benefits?*, **Miles Corak, Stephen Jones** (1993)
- No. 54 *Cyclical Variation in the Duration of Unemployment Spells*, **Miles Corak** (1992)
- No. 55 *Permanent Layoffs and Displaced Workers: Cyclical Sensitivity, Concentration, and Experience Following the Layoff*, **Garnett Picot and Wendy Pyper** (1993)
- No. 56 *The Duration of Unemployment During Boom and Bust*, **Miles Corak** (1993)
- No. 57 *Getting a New Job in 1989-90 in Canada*, **René Morissette** (1993)
- No. 58 *Linking Survey and Administrative Data to Study Determinants of Health*, **P. David, J.-M. Berthelot and C. Mustard** (1993)
- No. 59 *Extending Historical Comparability in Industrial Classification*, **John S. Crysdale** (1993)
- No. 60 *What is Happening to Earnings Inequality in Canada?*, **R. Morissette, J. Myles and G. Picot** (June 1994)
- No. 61 *Structural Change in the Canadian Manufacturing Sector, (1970-1990)*, **J. Baldwin and M. Rafiquzzaman** (July 1994)
- No. 62 *Unemployment Insurance, Work Disincentives, and the Canadian Labour Market: An Overview*, **Miles Corak** (January 1994)
- No. 63 *Recent Youth Labour Market Experiences in Canada*, **Gordon Betcherman and René Morissette** (July 1994)

- No. 64 *A Comparison of Job Creation and Job Destruction in Canada and the United States*, **John Baldwin, Timothy Dunne and John Haltiwanger** (July 1994)
- No. 65 *What is Happening to Weekly Hours Worked in Canada?*, **René Morissette and Deborah Sunter** (June 1994)
- No. 66 *Divergent Inequalities -- Theory, Empirical Results and Prescriptions*, **Michael C. Wolfson** (May 1995)
- No. 67 *XEcon: An Experimental / Evolutionary Model of Economic Growth*, **Michael C. Wolfson** (June 1995)
- No. 68 *The Gender Earnings Gap Among Recent Postsecondary Graduates, 1984-92*, **Ted Wannell and Nathalie Caron** (November 1994)
- No. 69 *A Look at Employment-Equity Groups Among Recent Postsecondary Graduates: Visible Minorities, Aboriginal Peoples and the Activity Limited*, **Ted Wannell and Nathalie Caron** (November 1994)
- No. 70 *Employment Generation by Small Producers in the Canadian Manufacturing Sector*, **John R. Baldwin and Garnett Picot** (November 1994)
- No. 71 *Have Small Firms Created a Disproportionate Share of New Jobs in Canada? A Reassessment of the Facts*, **Garnett Picot, John Baldwin and Richard Dupuy** (November 1994)
- No. 72 *Selection Versus Evolutionary Adaptation: Learning and Post-Entry Performance*, **J. Baldwin and M. Rafiquzzaman** (May 1995)
- No. 73 *Business Strategies in Innovative and Non-Innovative Firms in Canada*, **J. Baldwin and J. Johnson** (February 1995)
- No. 74 *Human Capital Development and Innovation: The Case of Training in Small and Medium Sized-Firms*, **J. Baldwin and J. Johnson** (March 1995)
- No. 75 *Technology Use and Industrial Transformation: Empirical Perspectives*, **John Baldwin, Brent Diverty and David Sabourin** (August 1995)
- No. 76 *Innovation: The Key to Success in Small Firms*, **John R. Baldwin** (February 1995)
- No. 77 *The Missing Link: Data on the Demand side of Labour Markets*, **Lars Osberg** (April 1995)
- No. 78 *Restructuring in the Canadian Manufacturing Sector from 1970 to 1990: Industry and Regional Dimensions of Job Turnover*, **J. Baldwin and M. Rafiquzzaman** (July 1995)
- No. 79 *Human Capital and the Use of Time*, **Frank Jones** (June 1995)
- No. 80 *Why Has Inequality in Weekly Earnings Increased in Canada?*, **René Morissette** (July 1995)
- No. 81 *Socio-Economic Statistics and Public Policy: A New Role For Microsimulation Modeling*, **Michael C. Wolfson** (July 1995)
- No. 82 *Social Transfers, Changing Family Structure, and Low Income Among Children*, **Garnett Picot and John Myles** (September 1995)
- No. 83 *Alternative Measures of the Average Duration of Unemployment*, **Miles Corak and Andrew Heisz** (October 1995)
- No. 84 *The Duration of Unemployment: A User Guide*, **Miles Corak and Andrew Heisz** (December 1995)
- No. 85 *Advanced Technology Use in Manufacturing Establishments*, **John R. Baldwin and Brent Diverty** (November 1995)

- No. 86 *Technology Use, Training and Plant-Specific Knowledge in Manufacturing Establishments, John R. Baldwin, Tara Gray and Joanne Johnson (December 1995)*
- No. 87 *Productivity Growth, Plant Turnover and Restructuring in the Canadian Manufacturing Sector, John R. Baldwin (November 1995)*
- No. 88 *Were Small Producers the Engines of Growth in the Canadian Manufacturing Sector in the 1980s?, John R. Baldwin (October 1996)*
- No. 89 *The Intergenerational Income Mobility of Canadian Men, Miles Corak and Andrew Heisz (January 1996)*
- No. 90 *The Evolution of Payroll Taxes in Canada: 1961 - 1993, Zhengxi Lin, Garnett Picot and Charles Beach (February 1996)*
- No. 91 *Project on Matching Census 1986 Database and Manitoba Health Care Files: Private Households Component, Christian Houle, Jean-Marie Berthelot, Pierre David, Cam Mustard, L. Roos and M.C. Wolfson (March 1996)*
- No. 92 *Technology-induced Wage Premia in Canadian Manufacturing Plants during the 1980s, John Baldwin, Tara Gray and Joanne Johnson (December 1996)*
- No. 93 *Job Creation by Company Size Class: Concentration and Persistence of Job Gains and Losses in Canadian Companies, Garnett Picot and Richard Dupuy (April 1996)*
- No. 94 *Longitudinal Aspects of Earnings Inequality in Canada, René Morissette and Charles Bérubé (July 1996)*
- No. 95 *Changes in Job Tenure and Job Stability in Canada, Andrew Heisz (November 1996)*
- No. 96 *Are Canadians More Likely to Lose Their Jobs in the 1990s?, Garnett Picot and Zhengxi Lin (August 6, 1997)*
- No. 97 *Unemployment in the Stock and Flow, Michael Baker, Miles Corak and Andrew Heisz (September 1996)*
- No. 98 *The Effect of Technology and Trade on Wage Differentials Between Nonproduction and Production Workers in Canadian Manufacturing, John R. Baldwin and Mohammed Rafiquzzaman (May 1998)*
- No. 99 *Use of POHEM to Estimate Direct Medical Costs of Current Practice and New Treatments Associated with Lung Cancer in Canada, C. Houle, B.P. Will, J.-M. Berthelot, Dr. W.K. Evans (May 1997)*
- No. 100 *An Experimental Canadian Survey That Links Workplace Practices and Employee Outcomes: Why it is Needed and How it Works, Garnett Picot, Ted Wannell (May 1997)*
- No. 101 *Innovative Activity in Canadian Food Processing Establishments: The Importance of Engineering Practices, John Baldwin and David Sabourin (November 1999)*
- No. 102 *Differences in Strategies and Performances of Different Types of Innovators, John R. Baldwin and Joanne Johnson (December 1997)*
- No. 103 *Permanent Layoffs in Canada: Overview and Longitudinal Analysis, Garnett Picot, Zhengxi Lin and Wendy Pyper (September, 1997)*
- No. 104 *Working More? Working Less? What do Canadian Workers Prefer?, Marie Drolet and René Morissette (May 20, 1997)*
- No. 105 *Growth of Advanced Technology Use in Canadian Manufacturing During the 1990's, by John Baldwin, Ed Rama and David Sabourin (December 14, 1999)*
- No. 106 *Job Turnover and Labour Market Adjustment in Ontario from 1978 to 1993, by Zhengxi Lin and Wendy Pyper (1997)*

- No.107 *The Importance of Research and Development for Innovation in Small and Large Canadian Manufacturing Firms*, **John R. Baldwin** (September 24, 1997)
- No.108 *International Competition and Industrial Performance: Allocative Efficiency, Productive Efficiency, and Turbulence*, **John R. Baldwin and Richard E. Caves** (October 1997)
- No.109 *The Dimensions of Wage Inequality among Aboriginal Peoples*, **Rachel Bernier** (December 1997)
- No.110 *Trickling Down or Fizzling Out? Economic Performance, Transfers, Inequality and Low Income*, **Myles Zyblock and Zhengxi Lin** (December 10, 1997)
- No.111 *Corporate Financial Leverage: A Canada - U.S. Comparison, 1961-1996*, **Myles Zyblock** (December 1997)
- No.112 *An explanation of the Increasing Age Premium*, **Constantine Kapsalis** (July 1998)
- No.113 *The Intergenerational Earnings and Income Mobility of Canadian Men: Evidence from Longitudinal Income Tax Data*, **Miles Corak and Andrew Heisz** (October, 1998)
- No.114 *Foreign-Born vs Native-Born Canadians: A Comparison of Their Inter-Provincial Labour Mobility*, **Zhengxi Lin** (September 1998)
- No.115 *Living Arrangements and Residential Overcrowding: the situation of older immigrants in Canada, 1991*, **K.G. Basavarajappa** (September 1998)
- No.116 *What is Happening to Earnings Inequality and Youth Wages in the 1990s?*, **Garnett Picot** (July 1998)
- No.117 *The Determinants of the Adoption Lag for Advanced Manufacturing Technologies*, **John R. Baldwin and Mohammed Rafiquzzaman** (August 1998)
- No.118 *Labour Productivity Differences Between Domestic and Foreign-Controlled Establishments in the Canadian Manufacturing Sector*, **John R. Baldwin and Naginder Dhaliwal** (March 1, 2000)
- No.119 *Technology Adoption: A Comparison Between Canada and the United States*, **John R. Baldwin and David Sabourin** (August 1998)
- No.120 *Are There High-Tech Industries or Only High-Tech Firms? Evidence From New Technology-Based firms*, **John R. Baldwin and Guy Gellatly** (December 1998)
- No.121 *A Portrait of Entrants and Exits*, **John R. Baldwin** (June 1999)
- No.122 *Determinants of Innovative Activity in Canadian Manufacturing Firms: The Role of Intellectual Property Right*, **John R. Baldwin, Petr Hanel and David Sabourin** (March 7, 2000)
- No.123 *Innovation and Training in New Firms* **John R. Baldwin** (November 2000)
- No.124 *New Views on Inequality Trends in Canada and the United States*, **Michael C. Wolfson and Brian B. Murphy** (August 1998 and October 1999 (paper))
- No.125 *Employment Insurance in Canada: Recent Trends and Policy Changes*, **Zhengxi Lin** (September 1998)
- No.126 *Computers, Fax Machines and Wages in Canada: What Really Matters?*, **René Morissette and Marie Drolet** (October 1998)
- No.127 *Understanding the Innovation Process: Innovation in Dynamic Service Industries*, **Guy Gellatly and Valerie Peters** (December 1999)

- No.128 *Recent Canadian Evidence on Job Quality by Firm Size*, **Marie Drolet and René Morissette** (November 1998)
- No.129 *Distribution, Inequality and Concentration of Income Among Older Immigrants in Canada, 1990*, **K.G. Basavarajappa** (April 1999)
- No.130 *Earnings Dynamics and Inequality among Canadian Men, 1976-1992: Evidence from Longitudinal Income Tax Records*, **Michael Baker and Gary Solon** (February 1999)
- No.131 *The Returns to Education, and the Increasing Wage Gap Between Younger and Older Workers*, **C. Kapsalis, R. Morissette and G. Picot** (March 1999)
- No.132 *Why Do Children Move Into and Out of Low Income: Changing Labour Market Conditions or Marriage and Divorce?*, **G. Picot, M. Zyblock and W. Pyper** (March 1999)
- No.133 *Rising Self-Employment in the Midst of High Unemployment: An Empirical Analysis of Recent Developments in Canada*, **Zhengxi Lin, Janice Yates and Garnett Picot** (March 1999)
- No.134 *The Entry and Exit Dynamics of Self-Employment in Canada*, **Zhengxi Lin, Garnett Picot and Janice Yates** (March 1999)
- No.135 *Death and Divorce: The Long-term Consequences of Parental Loss on Adolescents*, **Miles Corak** (June 9, 1999)
- No.136 **Cancelled**
- No.137 *Innovation, Training and Success*, **John Baldwin** (October 1999)
- No.138 *The Evolution of Pension Coverage of Young and Older Workers in Canada*, **René Morissette and Marie Drolet** (December 1999)
- No.139 *Import Competition and Market Power: Canadian Evidence*, **Aileen J. Thompson** (April 2000)
- No.140 *Gender Composition and Wages: Why is Canada Different from the United States*, **Michael Baker and Nicole Fortin** (August 2000)
- No.141 *The Transition to Work for Canadian University Graduates: Time to First Job, 1982-1990*, **Julian Betts, Christopher Ferrall and Ross Finnie** (December 2000)
- No.142 *Who Moves? A Panel Logit Model Analysis of Interprovincial Migration in Canada*, **Ross Finnie** (August 2000)
- No.143 *Differences in Innovator and Non-Innovator Profiles: Small Establishments in Business Services*, **Guy Gellatly** (December 1999)
- No.144 *Social Transfers, Earnings and Low-Income Intensity Among Canadian Children, 1981-1996: Highlighting Recent Development in Low-Income Measurement*, **John Myles and Garnett Picot** (March 2000)
- No.145 *How Much of Canada's Unemployment is Structural?*, **Lars Osberg and Zhengxi Lin** (October 2000)
- No.146 *To What Extent Are Canadians Exposed to Low-Income?*, **René Morissette and Marie Drolet** (April, 2000)
- No.147 *The Maturation of Canada's Retirement Income System: Income Levels, Income Inequality and Low-Income among the Elderly*, **John Myles** (March 6, 2000)
- No.148 *The Performance of the 1990s Canadian Labour Market*, **Garnett Picot and Andrew Heisz** (April, 2000)

- No.149 *Payroll Taxes in Canada Revisited: Structure, Statutory Parameters, and Recent Trends* **Zhengxi Lin** (August, 2001)
- No.150 *Patterns of Corporate Diversification in Canada: An Empirical Analysis*, **John R. Baldwin, Desmond Beckstead, Guy Gellatly and Alice Peters** (June, 2000)
- No.151 *Multinationals and the Canadian Innovation Process*, **John R. Baldwin and Petr Hanel** (June, 2000)
- No.152 *Rural Youth: Stayers, Leavers and Return Migrants*, **Richard Dupuy, Francine Mayer and René Morissette** (September 5, 2000)
- No.153 *Female Employment Rates and Labour Market Attachment in Rural Canada*, **Euan Phimster, Esperanza Vera Toscano, Alfons Weersink** (December 2000)
- No.154 *Training as a Human Resource Strategy: The Response to Staff Shortages and Technological Change*, **John R. Baldwin and Valerie Peters** (April 2001)
- No.155 *Job Tenure, Worker Mobility and the Youth Labour Market during the 1990s*, **G. Picot, A. Heisz and A. Nakamura** (March 2001)
- No.156 *The Impact of International Trade on the Wages of Canadians*, **Omar Zakhilwal** (December 2000)
- No.157 *The Persistent Gap: New Evidence on the Canadian Gender Wage Gap*, **Marie Drolet** (December 2000)
- No.158 *In Search of Intergenerational Credit Constraints Among Canadian Men: Quantile Versus Mean Regression Tests for Binding Credit Constraints*, **Nathan D. Grawe** (December 2000)
- No.159 *Intergenerational Influences on the Receipt of Unemployment Insurance in Canada and Sweden*, **Miles Corak, Bjorn Gustafsson and Torun Osterberg** (December 2000)
- No.160 *Neighbourhood Inequality in Canadian Cities*, **John Myles, Garnett Picot and Wendy Pyper** (December 13, 2000)
- No.161 **Cancelled**
- No.162 *The Evolution of Job Stability in Canada: Trends and Comparisons to U.S. Results*, **Andrew Heisz** (October 16, 2002)
- No.163 *The Effects of Inter-Provincial Mobility on Individuals' Earnings: Panel Model Estimates for Canada*, **Ross Finnie** (October, 2001)
- No.164 *Early Labour Market Outcomes of Recent Canadian University Graduates by Discipline: A Longitudinal, Cross-Cohort Analysis*, **Ross Finnie** (March 2002)
- No.165 *Innovation and Connectivity: The Nature of Market Linkages and Innovation Networks in Canadian Manufacturing Industries*, **John Baldwin and Alice Peters** (May 2001)
- No.166 *An Assessment of EI and SA Reporting in SLID*, **Constantine Kapsalis** (August, 2001)
- No.167 **Cancelled**
- No.168 *Enhancing Food Safety and Productivity: Technology Use in the Canadian Food Processing Industry*, **John R. Baldwin and David Sabourin** (May 2002)
- No.169 *Dynamics of the Canadian Manufacturing Sector in Metropolitan and Rural Regions*, **John R. Baldwin and Mark Brown with Tara Vinodrai** (November 2001)
- No.170 *Income Prospects of British Columbia University Graduates*, **Andrew Heisz** (May 2001)

- No.171 *Are the Kids All Right? Intergenerational Mobility and Child Well-being in Canada*, **Miles Corak** (October 2001)
- No.172 *Low-Income Intensity During the 1990s: The Role of Economic Growth, Employment Earnings and Social Transfers*, **G. Picot, R. Morissette, J. Myles** (January 24, 2003)
- No.173 *Impediments to Advanced Technology Adoption for Canadian Manufacturers*, **John Baldwin and Zhengxi Lin** (August, 2001)
- No.174 *Impact of the Adoption of Advanced Information and Communication Technologies on Firm Performance in the Canadian Manufacturing Sector*, **John R. Baldwin and David Sabourin** (October, 2001)
- No.175 *Skill Shortages and Advanced Technology Adoption*, **David Sabourin** (September, 2001)
- No.176 *Which Firms Have High Job Vacancy Rates in Canada?*, **René Morissette, Xuelin Zhang** (October 25, 2001)
- No.177 *A Tale of Three Cities: The Dynamics of Manufacturing in Toronto, Montreal and Vancouver, 1976-1997*, **Tara Vinodrai** (November 2001)
- No.178 *School Performance of the Children of Immigrants in Canada, 1994-98*, **Christopher Worswick** (November 14, 2001)
- No.179 *Changes in the Diversification of Canadian Manufacturing Firms (1973-1997): A Move to Specialization*, **John R. Baldwin, Desmond Beckstead and Richard Caves** (February 2002)
- No.180 *Differences in Interprovincial Productivity Levels*, **John R. Baldwin, Jean-Pierre Maynard, David Sabourin and Danielle Zietsma** (December 2001)
- No.181 *Does Parent or Child Know Best? An Assessment of Parent/Child Agreement in the Canadian National Longitudinal Survey of Children and Youth*, **Lori Curtis, Martin Dooley and Shelley Phipps** (October 23, 2002)
- No.182 *Effects of Selection Criteria and Economic Opportunities on the Characteristics of Immigrants*, by **Abdurrahman Aydemir** (October 23, 2002)
- No.183 *Setting up Shop: Self-Employment Amongst Canadian College and University Graduates*, **Ross Finnie, Christine Laporte, Maud-Catherine Rivard** (March 2002)
- No.184 *Winners and Losers in the Labour Market of the 1990s*, **Andrew Heisz, Andrew Jackson, Garnett Picot** (February 2002)
- No.185 *Do Neighbourhoods Influence Long Term Labour Market Success? A Comparison of Adults who Grew Up in Different Public Housing Projects*, **Philip Oreopoulos** (June 2002)
- No.186 *Wives, Mothers and Wages: Does Timing Matter?* **Marie Drolet** (May 1, 2002)
- No.187 *The Evolution of Wealth Inequality in Canada, 1984-1999*, **René Morissette, Xuelin Zhang and Marie Drolet** (February 2002)
- No.188 *Management Experience and Diversity in an Aging Organization*, **Ted Wannell and Martin Gravel** (August 2002)
- No.189 *The Importance of Entry to Canadian Manufacturing with an Appendix on Measurement Issues*, **John Baldwin, Desmond Beckstead and Andrée Girard** (May 2002)
- No.190 *Financing Innovation in New Small Firms : Evidence From Canada*, **John R., Baldwin, Guy Gellatly and Valérie Gaudreault** (May 2002)

- No.191 *Too Far to Go On? Distance to School and University Participation*, **Marc Frenette** (June 24, 2002)
- No.192 *Life After Welfare: The Economic Well-Being of Welfare Leavers in Canada during the 1990s*, **Marc Frenette, Garnet Picot** (March 26, 2003)
- No.193 *Plant Turnover and Productivity Growth in Canadian Manufacturing*, **John Baldwin, Wulong Gu** (April 2, 2003)
- No.194 *Wage Progression of Less Skilled Workers in Canada: Evidence from the SLID (1993-1998)*, **Xuelin Zhang** (December 6, 2002)
- No.195 *Do the Falling Earnings of Immigrants Apply to Self-Employed Immigrants?*, **Marc Frenette** (December 2002)
- No.196 *Minorities, Cognitive Skills and the Incomes of Canadians*, **Ross Finnie and Ronald Meng** (January 24, 2003)
- No.197 *The Wealth Position of Immigrant Families in Canada*, **Xuelin Zhang** (November 18, 2003)
- No.198 *The Rise in Low-Income Rates Among Immigrants in Canada*, **Garnett Picot and Feng Hou** (June 19, 2003)
- No.199 *Alternative Work Practices and Quit Rates: Methodological Issues and Empirical Evidence For Canada*, **René Morissette and Julio Miguel Rosa** (March 17, 2003)
- No.200 *Cohort Effects in Annual Earnings by Field of Study Among British Columbia University Graduates*, **Andrew Heisz** (September 26, 2003)
- No.201 *Access to College and University: Does Distance Matter?*, **Marc Frenette** (June 2003)
- No.202 *Learning From Failure: Organizational Mortality and the Resource-Based View*, **S. Thornhill and R. Amit** (August 8, 2003)
- No.203 *Effects of Business Cycles on the Labour Market Assimilation of Immigrants*, **Abdurrahman Aydemir** (July 31, 2003)
- No.204 *Visible Minority Neighbourhood Enclaves and Labour Market Outcomes of Immigrants*, **Garnett Picot, Feng Hou** (July 9, 2003)
- No.205 *Changing Trade Barriers and Canadian Firms: Survival and Exit After the Canada-U.S. Free Trade Agreement*, **Jen Baggs** (April 28, 2004)
- No.206 *Neighbourhood Attainment and Residential Segregation Among Toronto's Visible Minorities*, **John Myles and Feng Hou** (July 30, 2003)
- No.207 *Life cycle bias in the estimation of intergenerational earnings persistence*, **Nathan Grawe** (August 5, 2003)
- No.208 *Are Investment Expectations Rational?* by **Chetan Dave** (December 17, 2004)
- No.209 *Working Hours in Canada and the United States*, by **Andrew Heisz and Sébastien LaRochelle-Côté** (September 2003)
- No.210 *Family Income and Participation in Post-Secondary Education*, **Miles Corak, Garth Lipps and John Zhao** (October 1, 2003)
- No.211-214 **Cancelled**

- No.215 *Will They Ever Converge?: Earnings of Immigrant and Canadian-Born Workers over the Last Two Decades*, **Marc Frenette and René Morissette** (October 8, 2003)
- No.216 *How long do people live in low-income neighbourhoods?* **Marc Frenette Garnett Picot and Roger Sceviour** (January 2004)
- No.217 *Corporate Financial Leverage in Canadian Manufacturing: Consequences for Employment and Inventories*, **Andrew Heisz and Sébastien LaRochelle-Côté** (February 2004)
- No.218 *Have Permanent Layoff Rates Increased in Canada?* **René Morissette** (March 25, 2004)
- No.219 *Rising income inequality amid the economic recovery of the 1990s: An exploration of three data sources*, **Marc Frenette, David Green and Garnett Picot** (July 9, 2004)
- No.219 *REVISED: Rising Income Inequality in the 1990s: An Exploration of Three Data Sources* **Marc Frenette, David Green and Garnett Picot** (December 16, 2004)
- No.220 *Factors Determining the Success or Failure of Canadian Establishments on Foreign Markets: A Survival Analysis Approach*, **Jean Bosco Sabuhoro and Yvan Gervais** (May 5, 2004)
- No.221 *Recent immigration and the formation of visible minority neighbourhoods in Canada's large cities*, **Feng Hou** (July 2, 2004)
- No.222 *The Deteriorating Economic Welfare of Immigrants and Possible Causes*, **Garnett Picot** (July 15, 2004)
- No.223 *The Retirement Plans and Expectations of Non-Retired Canadians Aged 45-59*, **Grant Schellenberg** (June 29, 2004)
- No.224 *Public Transit Use Among Immigrants*, **Andrew Heisz, Grant Schellenberg** (May 13, 2004)
- No.225 *Explaining the Deteriorating Entry Earnings of Canada's Immigrant Cohorts: 1966-2000*, by **Abdurrahman Aydemir and Mikal Skuterud** (May 17, 2004)
- No.226 *Family Background and Access to Post Secondary Education: What Happened over the 1990s?*, **Ross Finnie, Christine Laporte and Eric Lascelles** (August 18, 2004)
- No.227 *A Longitudinal Analysis of Earnings Change in Canada*, **Charles M. Beach and Ross Finnie** (August 20, 2004)
- No.228 *Neighbourhood Inequality, Relative Deprivation and Self-perceived Health Status*, **Feng Hou and John Myles** (September 27, 2004)
- No.229 *Population Movement Into and Out of Canada's Immigrant Gateway Cities: A Comparative Study of Toronto, Montreal and Vancouver*, **Feng Hou and Larry S. Bourne** (September 13, 2004)
- No.230 *Earnings of Couples with High and Low Levels of Education, 1980-2000*, **René Morissette et Anick Johnson** (October 13, 2004)
- No.231 *Welfare Dynamics in Canada: The Role of Individual Attributes and Economic-Policy Variables*, **Ross Finnie, Ian Irvine and Roger Sceviour** (October 2004)
- No.232 *Relative Wage Patterns among the Highly Educated in a Knowledge-based Economy*, **René Morissette, Yuri Ostrovsky and Garnett Picot** (September 29, 2004)
- No.233 *Postsecondary Field of Study and the Canadian Labour Market Outcomes of Immigrants and Non-Immigrants*, **Arthur Sweetman and Stephan McBride** (October 28, 2004)

- No.234 *Immigrant Source Country Educational Quality and Canadian Labour Market Outcomes*, **Arthur Sweetman** (December 15, 2004).
- No.235 *The Evolution of the Gender Earnings Gap Amongst Canadian University Graduates*, **Ross Finnie and Ted Wannell** (November 30, 2004)
- No.236 *The Importance of Signalling in Job Placement and Promotion*, **Andrew Heisz and Philip Oreopoulos** (January 5, 2006)
- No.237 *Who Goes? The Direct and Indirect Effects of Family Background on Access to Post-secondary Education*, **Ross Finnie, Eric Lascelles and Arthur Sweetman** (January 18, 2005)
- No.238 *The Decline of the Immigrant Homeownership Advantage: Life-Cycle, Declining Fortunes and Changing Housing Careers in Montreal, Toronto and Vancouver, 1981-2001* by **Michael Haan** (February 3, 2005)
- No.239 *Are Good Jobs Disappearing in Canada?* by **René Morissette and Anick Johnson** (January 26, 2005)
- No.240 *Income Inequality and Low Income in Canada: An International Perspective*, by **Garnett Picot and John Myles** (February 10, 2005)
- No.241 *Ethnic Neighbourhoods and Male Immigrant Earnings Growth: 1981 through 1996*, by **Casey Warman** (February 25, 2005)
- No.242 *Making the Transition: The Impact of Moving from Elementary to Secondary School on Adolescents' Academic Achievement and Psychological Adjustment*, by **Garth Lipps** (March 1, 2005)
- No.243 *Participation in Post-secondary Education in Canada: Has the Role of Parental Income and Education Changed over the 1990's?* by **Marie Drolet** (February 15, 2005)
- No.244 *Is Post-secondary Access More Equitable in Canada or the United States?*, by **Marc Frenette** (March 15, 2005)
- No. 245 *Social Assistance Use in Canada: National and Provincial Trends in Incidence, Entry and Exit*, by **Ross Finnie, Ian Irvine, and Roger Sceviour** (May 30, 2005)
- No. 246 *Summary of: Social Assistance Use in Canada: National and Provincial Trends in Incidence, Entry and Exit*, by **Ross Finnie, Ian Irvine, and Roger Sceviour** (May 30, 2005) – Internet Only
- No. 247 *Intergenerational Impact of Immigrants' Selection and Assimilation on Health Outcomes of Children*, by **Nina Ahmed** (April 15, 2005)
- No. 248 *Low-paid Work and Economically Vulnerable Families over the Last Two Decades*, by **René Morissette and Garnett Picot** (April 25, 2005)
- No. 249 *Summary of: Low-paid Work and Economically Vulnerable Families over the Last Two Decades*, by **René Morissette and Garnett Picot** (April 25, 2005) – Internet only
- No. 250 *Explaining the Increase in On-the-Job Search*, by **Mikal Skuterud** (April 29, 2005)
- No. 251 *Canadian Compulsory School Laws and their Impact on Educational Attainment and Future Earnings*, by **Philip Oreopoulo** (May 19, 2005)
- No.252 *Are Immigrants Buying to Get In?: The Role of Ethnic Clustering on the Homeownership Propensities of 12 Toronto Immigrant Groups, 1996-2001* by **Michael Haan** (May 26, 2005)
- No. 253 *Summary of: Are Immigrants Buying to Get In?: The Role of Ethnic Clustering on the Homeownership Propensities of 12 Toronto Immigrant Groups, 1996-2001* by **Michael Haan** (May 26, 2005) – Internet only
- No. 254 *The Initial Destinations and Redistribution of Canada's Major Immigrant Groups: Changes over the Past Two*

Decades, by Feng Hou (June 29, 2005)

- No. 255 **Summary of: The Initial Destinations and Redistribution of Canada's Major Immigrant Groups: Changes over the Past Two Decades, by Feng Hou (June 29, 2005) – Internet only**
- No. 256 *Trade Liberalization, Profitability, and Financial Leverage by Jen Baggs and James A. Brander (June 22, 2005)*
- No. 257 **Summary of: Trade Liberalization, Profitability, and Financial Leverage by Jen Baggs and James A. Brander (June 22, 2005)– Internet only**
- No. 258 *Tariff Reduction and Employment in Canadian Manufacturing, 1988-1994, by Sébastien LaRochelle-Côté (June 22, 2005)*
- No. 259 **Summary of: Tariff Reduction and Employment in Canadian Manufacturing, 1988-1994, by Sébastien LaRochelle-Côté (June 22, 2005)– Internet only**
- No. 260 *Firms, Industries, and Unemployment Insurance: An Analysis Using Employer-Employee Data from Canada, by Miles Corak and Wen-Hao Chen (June 30, 2005)*
- No. 261 *All In the Family: A Simultaneous Model of Parenting Style and Child Conduct, by Peter Burton, Shelley Phipps and Lori Curtis (August 2, 2005)*
- No. 262 *The Deteriorating Economic Welfare of Immigrants and Possible Causes: Update 2005, by Garnett Picot and Arthur Sweetman (June 27, 2005) – A revised version of Study No. 222, dated July 2004*
- No. 263 *The Impact of Tuition Fees on University Access: Evidence from a Large-scale Price Deregulation in Professional Programs, by Marc Frenette (September 27, 2005)*
- No. 264 **Summary of: The Impact of Tuition Fees on University Access: Evidence from a Large-scale Price Deregulation in Professional Programs, by Marc Frenette (September 27, 2005) – Internet only**
- No. 265 *The Instability of Family Earnings and Family Income in Canada, 1986 to 1991 and 1996 to 2001, by René Morissette and Yuri Ostrovsky (November 2, 2005)*
- No. 266 **Summary of: The Instability of Family Earnings and Family Income in Canada, 1986 to 1991 and 1996 to 2001, by René Morissette and Yuri Ostrovsky (November 2, 2005) – Internet only**
- No. 267 *Intergenerational Earnings Mobility Among the Children of Canadian Immigrants, by Abdurrahman Aydemir, Wen-Hao Chen and Miles Corak (October 25, 2005)*
- No. 268 *The Impact of Macroeconomic Conditions on the Instability and Long-Run Inequality of Workers' Earnings in Canada, by Charles M. Beach, Ross Finnie and David Gray (February 7, 2006)*
- No. 269 **Summary of: The Impact of Macroeconomic Conditions on the Instability and Long-Run Inequality of Workers' Earnings in Canada, by Charles M. Beach, Ross Finnie and David Gray (February 7, 2006) – internet only**
- No. 270 *Differences in the Distribution of High School Achievement: The Role of Class Size and Time-in-term, by Miles Corak and Darren Lauzon (November 22, 2005)*
- No. 271 *Mandatory Retirement Rules and Retirement Decisions of University Professors in Canada, by Christopher Worswick (December 5, 2005)*
- No. 272 *Love and Money: Intergenerational Mobility and Marital Matching on Parental Income, by Jo Blanden (December 8, 2005)*

- No.273 *The New Migration: Global Labour Markets, Return and Onward Migration*, by **Abdurrahman Aydemir and Chris Robinson** (March 1, 2006)
- No.274 *Revisiting recent Trends in Canadian After-Tax Income Inequality Using Census Data*, by **Marc Frenette, David Green and Kevin Milligan** (February 27, 2006)
- No.275 *The Importance of Functional Literacy: Reading and Math Skills and Labour Market Outcomes of High School Drop-outs*, by **Ross Finnie and Ronald Meng** (March 27, 2006)
- No.276 *Participation in Adult Schooling and its Earnings Impact in Canada*, by **Xuelin Zhang and Boris Palameta** (March 24, 2006)
- No.277 **Summary of: Participation in Adult Schooling and its Earnings Impact in Canada**, by **Xuelin Zhang and Boris Palameta** (March 24, 2006) – internet only
- No.278 *Work Hours Instability in Canada*, by **Andrew Heisz and Sébastien LaRochelle-Côté** (March 29, 2006)
- No.279 **Summary of: Work Hours Instability in Canada**, by **Andrew Heisz and Sébastien LaRochelle-Côté** (March 29, 2006) – internet only
- No.280 *Incentive Effects of Social Assistance: A Regression Discontinuity Approach*, by **Thomas Lemieux and Kevin Milligan** (June 14, 2006)
- No.281 *Income and the Outcomes of Children*, by **Shelley Phipps and Lynn Lethbridge** (May 11, 2006)
- No.282 *Why Did Employment and Earnings Rise Among Lone Mothers During the 1980s and 1990s?* by **John Myles, Feng Hou, Garnett Picot and Karen Myers** (June 7, 2006)
- No.283 *Do Universities Benefit Local Youth? Evidence from University and College Participation, and Graduate Earnings Following the Creation of a New University*, by **Marc Frenette** (January 25, 2007)
- No.284 *National Data Sets: Sources of Information for Canadian Child Care Data*, by **Dafna E. Kohen, Barry Forer and Clyde Hertzman** (June 19, 2006)
- No.285 *Forthcoming*
- No.286 *Pension Coverage and Retirement Savings of Canadian Families, 1986 to 2003*, by **René Morissette and Yuri Ostrovsky** (September 26, 2006)
- No.287 *Product Market Competition and Agency Costs*, by **Jen Baggs and Jean-Etienne de Bettignies** (December 4, 2006)
- No.288 *International Mobility: Patterns of Exit and Return of Canadians, 1982 to 2003*, by **Ross Finnie** (November 17, 2006)
- No.289 *International Mobility: A Longitudinal Analysis of the Effects on Individuals' Earnings*, by **Ross Finnie** (January 18, 2007)
- No.290 *Forthcoming*
- No.291 *Earnings Losses of Displaced Workers: Canadian Evidence from a Large Administrative Database on Firm Closures and Mass Layoffs*, by **René Morissette; Xuelin Zhang and Marc Frenette** (January 16, 2007)
- No.292 *The Role of University Characteristics in Determining Post-graduation Outcomes: Panel Evidence from Three Recent Canadian Cohorts*, by **Julian Betts, Christopher Ferrall and Ross Finnie** (February 26, 2007)

- No.293 *Understanding Regional Differences in Work Hours*, by **Andrew Heisz and Sébastien LaRochelle-Côté** (January 22, 2007)
- No.294 *Chronic Low Income and Low-income Dynamics Among Recent Immigrants*, by **Garnett Picot, Feng Hou and Simon Coulombe** (January 30, 2007)
- No.295 *Why Are Youth from Lower-income Families Less Likely to Attend University? Evidence from Academic Abilities, Parental Influences, and Financial Constraints*, by **Marc Frenette** (February 8, 2007)
- No.296 *Gender Differences in Quits and Absenteeism in Canada*, by **Xuelin Zhang** (February 23, 2007)
- No.297 *Income Instability of Lone Parents, Singles and Two-Parent Families in Canada, 1984 to 2004*, by **René Morissette and Yuri Ostrovsky** (March 29, 2007)
- No.298 *Income Inequality and Redistribution in Canada: 1976 to 2004*, by **Andrew Heisz** (May 11, 2007)
- No.299 *The Changing Role of Education in the Marriage Market: Assortative Marriage in Canada and the United States Since the 1970s*, by **Feng Hou and John Myles** (May 18, 2007)
- No.300 *Offshoring and Employment in Canada: Some Basic Facts*, by **René Morissette and Anick Johnson** (May 22, 2007)
- No.301 *Has Higher Education Among Young Women Substantially Reduced the Gender Gap in Employment and Earnings?* by **Marc Frenette and Simon Coulombe** (June 12, 2007)



